

# Student Team Achievement Division Learning Model and Student Process Skills

Kamid<sup>1\*</sup>, Sri Winarni<sup>2</sup>, Rohati<sup>3</sup>, Wahyu Adi Pratama<sup>4</sup>, Elza Triani<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1,2,3,4,5</sup> Faculty of Teaching and Education, Universitas Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received December 21, 2021  
Accepted January 17, 2022  
Available online February 25, 2022

### Kata Kunci:

Keterampilan Proses, STAD,  
Matematika

### Keywords:

Process Skills, STAD,  
Mathematics



This is an open access article under the  
CC BY-SA license.

Copyright © 2022 by Author. Published by  
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.

## ABSTRAK

Masih banyak siswa yang kesulitan dalam belajar matematika. Hal ini disebabkan karena siswa merasa pembelajaran matematika sangat sulit. Masalah ini berdampak pada hasil belajar siswa yang rendah. Salah satu cara untuk memudahkan siswa dalam belajar yaitu dengan menggunakan model pembelajaran yang sesuai. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis perbandingan dan korelasi variabel respon siswa pada model pembelajaran student team achievement division materi balok dan kubus dan keterampilan proses siswa. Jenis penelitian ini adalah penelitian eksperimen kuantitatif dengan membandingkan empat kelas dengan menggunakan variabel keterampilan proses dan respon siswa terhadap hasil belajar tim siswa. Teknik pengambilan sampel menggunakan purposive sampling dengan jumlah sampel 144 siswa dari populasi dua SD Negeri dan dua SD Islam. Teknik yang digunakan untuk mengumpulkan data yaitu kuesioner. Instrumen yang digunakan untuk mengumpulkan data yaitu angket. Analisis data yang digunakan adalah kuantitatif dengan bantuan statistik SPSS 25, untuk mencari statistik deskriptif, uji asumsi dan uji hipotesis. Berdasarkan uji-T diketahui bahwa terdapat perbedaan yang signifikan keterampilan proses siswa di masing-masing sekolah dan respon siswa terhadap model pembelajaran Student team achievement division pada volume balok dan kubus di masing-masing sekolah. Berdasarkan uji korelasi, terdapat hubungan antara keterampilan proses siswa terhadap respon siswa dengan model pembelajaran siswa pembagian prestasi beregu materi volume balok dan kubus antar sekolah.

## ABSTRACT

There are still many students who have difficulty learning mathematics. It is because students feel that learning mathematics is complicated. This problem has an impact on low student learning outcomes. One way to make it easier for students to learn is to use an appropriate learning model. This study aims to compare and correlate student response variables in the student team achievement division learning model of block and cube material and student process skills. This type of research is quantitative experimental research by comparing four classes using process skills variables and student responses to student team learning outcomes. The sampling technique used was purposive sampling with a sample size of 144 students from a population of two public elementary schools and two Islamic elementary schools. The technique used to collect data is a questionnaire. The instrument used to collect data is a questionnaire. The data analysis used was quantitative with the help of SPSS 25 statistics to find descriptive statistics, test assumptions, and test hypotheses. Based on the t-test, it is known that there are significant differences in students' process skills in each school and student responses to the Student team achievement division learning model on the volume of blocks and cubes in each school. Based on the correlation test, there is a relationship between students' process skills on student responses with the student learning model of team achievement division on the material of volume of blocks and cubes between schools.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Education is very important in our life. Education is a very important thing in developing attitudes, achievements and the quality of human resources in order to create progress in the nation (Hekmah et al., 2019; Mahendra, 2017). Education is a continuous learning process in social activities to acquire knowledge, skills, attitudes and thinking abilities carried out by a person to develop individuals (Hidayat,

2017; Rosidin et al., 2019). Education can be interpreted as an approach to improve the quality of education, by focusing on methods, teaching and learning (Campbell et al., 2017; Quay, 2017; Cai et al., 2020). In education, we must be familiar with Mathematics. Mathematics is a branch of education. Mathematics is part of education that studies natural phenomena and the way nature works (Susilawati et al., 2017; Suharta, 2019; Asrial et al., 2020; Laksana et al., 2020). Primary school mathematics learning can hone students' mathematical abilities to think logically, analytically, critically and systematically by improving the learning process (Nugraheni, 2017)(Surya, 2017; Wijaya et al., 2019). Mathematics subjects are less attractive because most students still think that mathematics is difficult (Arifah et al., 2019). Mathematics as a basic science in studying other sciences, the purpose of learning mathematics in elementary schools is to master predetermined competency standards and children are able to solve simple problems systematically (Maelasari & Wahyudin, 2017). However, in reality, many students still have difficulty learning mathematics (Abdiyani et al., 2019; Achdiyati & Utomo, 2018). The findings of previous studies also stated that students had great difficulty in learning mathematics (Aziz et al., 2020; Muna et al., 2017; Purnomo, 2016). It will impact students' everyday knowledge and poor thinking skills, so it impacts low student learning outcomes.

One of the factors that determine the success of mathematical results is the learning model used. Learning models are very diverse in education. The use of learning models greatly affects the process and learning outcomes (Brinus et al., 2019; Cahyaningrum et al., 2019; Hanifah et al., 2019). In learning students are required to experience for themselves, seek, try and draw conclusions on the process of the skills they do (Agustin, 2019; Akib et al., 2020; Falloon, 2020). In order for the learning objectives to reach the target properly, it is necessary to select appropriate learning methods and strategies (Mansur & Rafiudin, 2020; Oktaviani et al., 2018). There are several learning models that can be used, including Student team achievement division. Student team achievement division is one of the popular learning models used. The Student team achievement division model is a model that assigns students to form four or five member study teams mixed in performance level, gender, and ethnicity (Prananda, 2019; Rangkuti et al., 2019; Rulyansah et al., 2019). The development of learning models with the Student team achievement division model greatly affects learning outcomes, is expected to increase students' understanding of concepts (Juraini et al., 2017; Yulianto et al., 2020; Zahro et al., 2018b). The Student team achievement division type learning model is one of the learning models that is useful for fostering cooperative, creative, critical thinking and helping skills (Ariawan, 2018; Israil, 2019; Jaelani et al., 2019). In the STAD learning model, one group consists of a minimum of four and a maximum of five students who have good academic abilities and poor academic abilities (Kim, 2018). The use of the right learning model supports the formation of a learning process that is in line with the educator's plan.

Process skills are very important for every student to have. The process towards life skills requires an exercise and requires a process called process skills (Lusidawaty et al., 2020; Yusri, 2018; Asmi & Hasan, 2017). Skills are very important to generate new knowledge through learning activities to improve students' understanding (Vansteensel et al., 2017; Stender et al., 2018; Vartiainen & Kumpulainen, 2020). Process skills are processes designed in such a way that students understand facts, concepts, and relate them to the theories and attitudes of students themselves (Gunawan et al., 2019; Iswatun et al., 2017; Sakdiah et al., 2018). With good student skills, it will be easier for students to understand and succeed in accordance with educational goals. Teaching is the activity of implementing the educational curriculum to achieve the educational goals that have been set. To achieve these educational goals, a teacher as an educator has a very important role and influence for students in building student character at school and must be able to create an active, fun teaching and learning atmosphere and impress students in learning activities so that students can absorb and receive the knowledge they learn. get it as a provision for life later (Sholihat et al., 2017; Apriyanti Widiandyah, 2018). It is hoped that the next generation of quality will emerge and be able to make changes for the better in the life of the nation and state so as to create a generation that has life skills. One of the skills needed is the ability to solve problems (Anugrah et al., 2020; van den Heuvel et al., 2020; A Widiandyah, 2019) The existence of learning objectives can make it easier to deliver students to master concepts and relate these concepts to everyday life.

Previous research that is in line with the research currently being carried out by researchers, that learning mathematics really needs students' process skills in learning activities (Gasila et al., 2019; Siswono., 2017; Halimah et al., 2019). The previous research which showed that the type of cooperative learning had an effect on the success of process skills that would increase student activity and understanding (Dewi, 2017; Juraini et al., 2017; Widyaningrum & Harjono, 2019). The current research is also in line with previous research which shows that based on the results of data analysis, it can be concluded that learning mathematics with a cooperative model with STAD has implications for increasing mathematical understanding, better than using the classical model (Tiwow et al., 2020). In previous research, it was found that scientific knowledge and process skills have a simultaneous correlation with

creativity in solving problems (Zainuddin et al., 2020). Learning with cooperative models and STAD has a positive impact on interest in learning mathematics. The novelty of previous research and current research lies in the measured variables, where in previous studies measuring or comparing learning models, current research measures learning models with process skills of elementary school students in the mathematics subject of volume of blocks and cubes.

Ideally, the Student team achievement division learning model is expected to have a positive influence on students' process skills in learning mathematics. Given the field shows that learning mathematics is considered difficult by some elementary school children. In mathematics, students need process skills to make it easier to understand the lessons (Kamid et al., 2021). So that by applying the Student Team Achievement Division learning model, students can learn in teams and exchange information like peer tutors. From this, it is important to conduct research to determine the relationship between student responses to the Student team achievement division learning model in mathematics learning to student process skills and to determine the differences in student responses in each school to mathematics learning outcomes. The learning model of the division of student team achievements and differences in school students' process skills. in their respective schools. So this study aims to analyze the comparison of student responses to mathematics subjects on volume blocks and cubes using the STAD learning model in each school. Then to find out the comparison of students' skills in learning mathematics with the subject matter of blocks and cubes in each school. Furthermore, it aims to measure the relationship between students' responses to the STAD learning model and students' process skills on volume blocks and cubes in mathematics lessons.

## 2. METHOD

Type of research this is the study of quantitative experiments by comparing the four classes that use variable process skills and the students' response to the Student team achievement division model material volume of beams and cubes . Research is conducted in four schools namely 2 SD and 2 MI with each class numbered 36 students. So the overall total students that study this using a type of research quantitative comparative . The design of a procedure in quantitative research in which you administer a questionnaire to a small group of people (called a sample) to identify trends in attitudes, opinions, behaviors, or characteristics of a large group of people (Cresswell, 2014).

The research was conducted in four schools, namely SDN 52/I Kilangan II, SD Negeri 63/I Simpang Karmio, MIS Nurul Ehsan, MIS Nurul Jadid with 36 students in each class. In this study, samples were taken using purposive sampling technique. Purposive sampling is a type of sampling in which the research is more of a case of choice (Cresswell, 2014). The reason for this sampling technique is because not all samples choose criteria that match the phenomenon being studied. The most important thing in making a sample should be considering the analysis of the sample. The sample criteria are active students in elementary schools who are taking mathematics lessons on cubes and blocks and a sample of 36 students is obtained in each school. So the total number of students is 144 students.

Instruments that are used in research this is a sheet questionnaires were distributed in 4 classes in 4 schools. Instrument ratings are one of the instrument ratings realm of experiment latest in the field of assessment. There are 47 items inquiry skills processes and 26 item questions in a model of learning Student team achievement division which uses a scale likerrt 5. The scale that consists of 5 points to the model of learning with one (It is not good ), 2 ( not good ), 3 ( Pretty good ), 4 ( good ) 5 ( very good ), while 4 points for skills process with the 1 (very not good ), 2 ( not good ), 3 ( good ), and 4 ( very good ). Each statement is a representative of each process skill indicator and student response questionnaire with the Student team achievement division.model for the volume of blocks and cubes . The process skills questionnaire instrument used in this study is presented in Table 1. The process skill categories used in this study are presented in table 2. The description of the student response questionnaire instrument grid with the student team achievement division model for the volume of blocks and cubes is presented in Table 3.

**Table 1.** Instruments questionnaire skills of the SD and MI on the material volume of beams and cubes

| Variable                    | Indicator       | No. Statement Items |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|
| Process Skills              | Observation     | 1,2,3               |
|                             | classify        | 8,9,10,11,12        |
|                             | compiling table | 25, 26, 27          |
| <b>Number of Statements</b> |                 | <b>11</b>           |

**Table 2.** Category of Student Process Skills

| Category      | Category           |             |           |                 |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|-----------------|
|               | Indicator Interval | Observation | classify  | compiling table |
| Very Not Good | 3.0-5.3            | 5.0-8.8     | 3.0-5.3   |                 |
| Not Good      | 5.4-7.6            | 8.9-12.7    | 5.4-7.6   |                 |
| Good          | 7.7-10             | 12.8-16.2   | 7.7-10    |                 |
| Very Good     | 10.1-12.4          | 16.3-20.3   | 10.1-12.4 |                 |

**Table 3 .** The student response questionnaire instrument grid with the student team achievement division model for the volume of blocks and cubes

| Variable  | Indicator  | Statement Item No.   |
|---|--|----------------------|
| Student responses with the student team achievement division model for the volume of blocks and cubes | spirit in follow learning                                | 1,2,3,4,5,6          |
|   | Media use  | 7,8,9,10,11,12,13    |
|   | interest in studying science                             | 14,15,16,17,18,19,20 |
|   | easy to understand the concept and importance of science | 21,22,23,24,25,26    |
| <b>Number of Statements</b>   |  | <b>26</b>            |

**Table 4 .** Category of student response with the jigsaw model of speed and discharge material

| Category      | Interval Indikator                   |            |                              |  |
|---------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------|--|
|               | zeal in following the lesson learned | Media use  | interest in studying science | easy to understand the concept and importance of science |
| Not very good | 26.0-46.8                            | 26.0-46.8  | 26.0-46.8                    | 26.0-46.8  |
| Not good      | 46.9-67.6                            | 46.9-67.6  | 46.9-67.6                    | 46.9-67.6  |
| Enough        | 67.7-88.4                            | 67.7-88.4  | 67.7-88.4                    | 67.7-88.4  |
| Good          | 88.5-109.2                           | 88.5-109.2 | 88.5-109.2                   | 88.5-109.2   |
| Very good     | 109.3-130                            | 109.3-130  | 109,3-130                    | 109.3-130  |

This study uses quantitative data analysis with the help of SPSS statistics 25, the test is used to find descriptive statistics. The research method is basically a scientific way to obtain data with specific purposes and uses, one of which is to clarify various analytical processes using real calculation methods. (Suharsaputra, 2012). Next, identify the results for follow-up. At the data collection stage, questionnaires were given to 144 students at SD Negeri 52/I Kilangan II, SD Negeri 63/I Simpang Karmio, MIS Nurul Ihsan, MIS Nurul Jadid. From this data, data analysis is then carried out, namely data coding, filtering appropriate data and analyzing the data. This study uses quantitative data. Then this data will be analyzed using a descriptive test to find out the student's response then the assumption test is carried out starting from the normality and linearity test. If the data being tested is normal and linear data, then it ends with a hypothesis test to see whether there is a significant relationship and comparison by conducting a T test and a correlation test. Images or representations of large amounts of data which include mean, median, max and min are descriptive statistics (Pramesti et al., 2018; Santoso et al., 2019; Wahyuni. 2020).

### 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

#### Result

Based on data analysis, the process skills of SD/MI students with observation indicators showed that the average response of students was in the good category with the percentage for SD N 52 38.9% good, SDN 63 38.9% good, MIS NI 36.1% good, MIS NJ 36.1% good, so it can be concluded that SDN 63 Dominant is good. Based on data analysis, the process skills of SD/MI students with measuring indicators found that the average number of students chose the good category with the percentage for SD N 52 30.5% good, SD N 63 41.7% good, MIS NI 47.2% good, MIS NJ 36.1% good, so it can be concluded that MIS NI Dominant is better. Based on data analysis, the process skills of SD/MI students with indicators in compiling tables, it was found that the average number of students chose the good category with the percentage for SD N 52 38.9% good, SD N 63 38.9% good, MIS NI 36.1% good, MIS NJ 36.1% good, so it can be concluded that SDN 63 Dominant is better. Description Model learning STAD against the material volume beams and cubes found that the average student many choose simply by percentage for SD N 52 73.6% enough, SD N 63

61.6% Enough, enough 45% NI MIS, MIS NJ 43.1% good, so it can be concluded that SDN 52 Dominant is better.

Normality test is a test used to see whether the data is normally distributed, if the significance value is > 0.05 then the data is normally distributed, if the significance value is < 0.05 then the data is not normal. The results of the normality test are shown in the following table. Based on data analyzis, in the obtained test for normality with Kolmogorov- smoniv test the value of significance > of 0.05, then it can be concluded the data distribution is normal. Linearity test is done in order to see the linear relationship between two or more variables. Conditions in this test, if the significance value > 0.05. Based on data analysis, the results of the linearity test obtained are the significance value for SD Negeri 52/I Kilangan II which is 0.087, SD Negeri 63/I Simpang Karmio is 0.112, MI S Nurul Ihsan is 0.097, and MIS Nurul Jidad is 0.078 then these results have meet the requirements > 0.05 so that it can be concluded that there is a linear relationship between elementary school students' process skills and student responses to the student team achievement model on the material for dividing the volume of blocks and cubes.

T test was conducted in order to know the difference between the variables on the material of multiplication of fractions. Terms of the test is if the value of the significance of < 0:05 then it can be said that the variable that coined the difference. If the significance value > 0.05 then the variable does not have a significant difference. The results of the Process Skills T test for SD Negeri 52/I Kilangan II and SD Negeri 63/I Simpang Karmio are presented in Table 5.

**Table 5 .** Process Skills T Test for SD Negeri 52/I Kilangan II and SD Negeri 63/I Simpang Karmio

| Class                         | N  | Mean   | Sig.  | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|-------------------------------|----|--------|-------|-----------------|
| SD Negeri 52/I Kilangan II    | 72 | 120.50 | 0.643 | 0.0312          |
| SD Negeri 63/I Simpang Karmio |    | 111.12 |       |                 |

Based Test T may ter see that there is a difference between the skills of the SD Negeri 52 / I Kilangan II and SD Negeri 63 / I Simpang Karmio. This is evidenced by the value of sig (2-tailed) < 0.05. The T test for the Process Skills of MI S Nurul Ihsan and MIS Nurul Jidad are presented in Table 6.

**Table 6 .** T-Test Process Skills for MIS Nurul Ihsan and MIS Nurul Jidad

| Class            | N  | mean   | Sig.  | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|------------------|----|--------|-------|-----------------|
| MI S Nurul Ihsan | 72 | 118.14 | 0.853 | 0.0185          |
| MIS Nurul Jidad  |    | 123.47 |       |                 |

Based on the T test may ter see that there is a difference between process skills MI S Nurul Ihsan and MIS Nurul Jidad. This is evidenced by the value of sig (2-tailed) < 0.05. In testing this is done in order to determine the relationship variables on material fractional multiplication. Terms of the test is if the value of the significance of > 0.05 then it can be said that the variables are not coined relationship. If the value of the significance of <0.05 then the variables that have a relationship that is significant. The test kore l ation Skills Process elementary school students and students of MI in the expose as in the Tabel 7.

**Table 7.** Process Skills Correlation Test for Elementary School Students and MI students

| School Level | N   | Pearson Correlation | Sig. (2-tailed) |
|--------------|-----|---------------------|-----------------|
| SD * MI      | 144 | 0.632               | 0.042           |

Based on the results of correlation can be ter see that there is a relationship between process skills of elementary school students and students of MI. This is evidenced by the value of sig (2-tailed) < 0.05.

### Discussion

The research conducted by the researcher is about the process skills and student responses by using the STAD model for the volume of blocks and cubes. Cooperative learning is a learning model that applies a grouping system or small team, which is between four to six people with different academic backgrounds, gender, race, or ethnicity (Ariani, 2017; Juraini et al., 2017; Juwita et al., 2018). The STAD method is the simplest application method in the cooperative learning model (Agustina, 2015; Juraini et al., 2017; Widiyanto, 2017). For a teacher who is still a beginner in implementing cooperative learning, it would be better to use this method because the steps are still simple and do not take too long (Alman, 2017; Hijrihani & Wutsqa, 2015). The STAD Cooperative Learning Model is a cooperative learning approach that is active in activities and interactions among students to motivate and assist each other in mastering subject

matter to achieve maximum achievement (Anggraini et al., 2018; Suherti & Tsuroya, 2019; Zahro et al., 2018a). Teachers who use STAD submit new academic information to students every week using verbal or text presentations (Jubaedah, 2017; Laksono et al., 2016).

In this study, the researcher used the variable Process Skills with indicators of observation, classification, and compiling tables, so that it could be used as a basis and reference in researching to examine other process skills and models for dividing student team achievements in mathematics. The subject matter, namely the volume of blocks and cubes. In this study, three process skills indicators were used, and the indicators commonly used to distribute student team achievements. Because if students have a positive interest in process skills and models for dividing student team achievements with math subject matter, the volume of blocks and cubes is expected to improve learning outcomes. Mathematics learning outcomes are essential in a learning and teaching process because they can measure changes in cognitive, affective, and psychomotor abilities that are achieved or mastered by students after participating in the teaching and learning process (Aziza, 2019; Muhtadi et al., 2018; Pratama & Retnawati, 2018). Mathematics has many benefits in humans because the mathematical way of thinking is systematic, through regular and specific sequences (Fasha et al., 2018; Putri et al., 2019; Setiawan, 2020).

This research can also help prospective teacher students understand student Process Skills to the STAD learning model, applied to mathematics learning with block and cube volume material in several student development courses. Implications Student process skills using the STAD learning model make students more active in learning and work well together in groups to solve problems (Maelasari & Wahyudin, 2017; Septian et al., 2020; Yulianto et al., 2020). From the results of hypothesis testing, it can be seen that there is a relationship between student responses in the STAD learning model to students' process skills in mathematics. This research is in line with other research, however, there are some differences and updates in this study, because previous research did not discuss more specifically about the indicators used in process skills as used in this study, the indicators used were observation tables, classifying and compiling tables used in this study (Gasila et al., 2019; Mahmud, 2017; Siswono, 2017b). The material studied is the volume of blocks and cubes which are studied with the STAD learning model at the elementary school level. And this research is an update from previous research by measuring comparisons or differences and correlations between student responses to the STAD learning model and elementary school students' process skills in mathematics. In previous research, relevant to the current research, namely regarding student responses to the STAD learning model, it was found that the STAD model assisted by the Quizizz media has the potential to overcome problems of motivation and student learning outcomes (Yulianto et al., 2020). With this, the researchers conducted this research by applying the STAD learning model in mathematics. but in previous studies only examined student responses to social studies learning in high school with the STAD learning model. So the current study was carried out as an update from previous research to be able to see how the relationship between student responses to STAD learning applied to mathematics lessons in elementary schools.

#### 4. CONCLUSION

There were significant differences in student process skills in each school and also in student responses to the block and cube volume STAD learning between schools models. There was a relationship between students' process skills and student responses to the STAD learning model for the interschool volume of blocks and cubes. So it is hoped that learning with the STAD model can improve students' process skills, seeing that there is a strong relationship between student responses to learning and the STAD learning model to students' process skills, especially in learning mathematics.

#### 5. REFERENCES

- Abdiyani, S. S., Khabibah, S., & Rahmawati, N. D. (2019). Profil Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa SMP Berdasarkan Langkah-langkah Polya Ditinjau dari Adversity Quotient. *Al-Khwarizmi: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, 7(2), 123-134. <https://doi.org/10.24256/jpmipa.v7i2.774>.
- Achdiyat, M., & Utomo, R. (2018). Kecerdasan Visual-Spasial, Kemampuan Numerik, dan Prestasi Belajar Matematika. *Formatif: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan MIPA*, 7(3), 234-245. <https://doi.org/10.30998/formatif.v7i3.2234>.
- Agustin, N. M. (2019). Kemampuan Penalaran Matematika Siswa Melalui Model Pembelajaran Core and Pairs Check (CPC) Pada Materi Statistika Siswa Kelas XI MA Ma'arif NU Jenggawah. *JPM : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.33474/jpm.v5i1.2629>.
- Agustina, R. L. (2015). Upaya Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar IPA Siswa Kelas IV Menggunakan Model STAD dan NHT. *Journal of Educational Science and Technology (EST)*, 1 (3).

- <https://doi.org/10.26858/est.v1i3.1801>.
- Akib, E., Imran, M. E., Mahtari, S., Mahmud, R. M., Prawiyogy, A. G., Supriatna, I., & Ikhsan, M. H. (2020). Study on Implementation of Integrated Curriculum in Indonesia. *IJORER: International Journal of Recent Educational Education*, 1(1), 39–57. <https://doi.org/10.46245/ijorer.v1i1.24>.
- Alman. (2017). The Influence of Open-Ended and STAD Method on the Mathematical Problem-Solving Skills in Terms of Learning Achievement. *Jurnal Prima Edukasia*, 5(2), 112–124. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jpe.v5i2.14280>.
- Anggraini, D., Relmasira, S., & Tyas Asri Hardini, A. (2018). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Student Teams Achievement Division (Stad) Melalui Media Pembelajaran Ular Tangga Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Dan Hasil Belajar Ips Pada Peserta Didik Kelas 2 Sd. *Pendekar: Jurnal Pendidikan Berkarakter*, 1(1), 324. <https://doi.org/10.31764/pendekar.v1i1.379>.
- Anugrah, D., Murwitaningsih, S., Sofyan, D. A., & Susilo, S. (2020). Model pembelajaran kreatif treffinger terhadap kemampuan memecahkan masalah pada materi ekosistem dan perubahan lingkungan. *JPBIO (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi)*. <https://doi.org/10.31932/jpbio.v5i1.601>.
- Ariani, T. (2017). Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Team Assisted Individualization (TAI): Dampak Terhadap Hasil Belajar Fisika Siswa. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Fisika Al-Biruni*, 6(2), 169. <https://doi.org/10.24042/jipfalbiruni.v6i2.1802>.
- Arifah, R. E. N., Sukirman, S., & Sujalwo, S. (2019). Pengembangan Game Edukasi Bilomatika untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa pada Mata Pelajaran Matematika Kelas 1 SD. *Jurnal Teknologi Informasi Dan Ilmu Komputer*, 6(6), 617. <https://doi.org/10.25126/jtiik.2019661310>.
- Asmi, S., & Hasan, M. (2017). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek Pada Materi Suhu Dan Kalor Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Proses. *Jurnal Pendidikan Sains Indonesia (Indonesian Journal of Science Education)*, 05(01), 20–26.
- Aziz, A. F., Kusumaningsih, W., & Rahmawati, N. D. (2020). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Missouri Mathematics Project (MMP) dengan Strategi Think Talk Write (TTW) Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Siswa SMP. *Imajiner: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*. <https://doi.org/10.26877/imajiner.v2i2.5774>.
- Aziza, M. (2019). Kemampuan pemecahan masalah siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal tertutup dan terbuka pada pokok bahasan lingkaran. *Pythagoras: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 14(2), 126–138. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pg.v14i2.26563>.
- Brinus, K. S. W., Makur, A. P., & Nendi, F. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Kontekstual terhadap Pemahaman Konsep Matematika Siswa SMP. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*. <https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v8i2.439>.
- Cahyaningrum, A. D., AD, Y., & Asyhari, A. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Quantum Teaching Tipe Tandır Terhadap Hasil Belajar. *Indonesian Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ijsme.v2i3.4363>.
- Cai, S., Liu, E., Shen, Y., Liu, C., Li, S., & Shen, Y. (2020). Probability learning in mathematics using augmented reality: impact on student's learning gains and attitudes. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 28(5), 560–573. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10494820.2019.1696839>.
- Campbell, C., Pollock, K., Briscoe, P., Carr-Harris, S., & Tuters, S. (2017). Developing a knowledge network for applied education research to mobilise evidence in and for educational practice. *Educational Research*, 59(2), 209–227. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131881.2017.1310364>.
- Cresswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design. Qualitative Approaches, Quantitative and Mixed Methods*. Publication, (Fourth Edi). Sage.
- Dewi, C. (2017). Peningkatan Keterampilan Berbicara Dalam Bermain Drama Melalui Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Inside-Outside Circle. *JINoP (Jurnal Inovasi Pembelajaran)*, 3(2), 567–575. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jinop.v3i2.4575>.
- Falloon, G. (2020). From digital literacy to digital competence: the teacher digital competency (TDC) framework. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 68(5), 2449–2472. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-020-09767-4>.
- Fasha, A., Johar, R., & Ikhsan, M. (2018). Peningkatan kemampuan pemecahan masalah dan berpikir kritis matematis siswa melalui pendekatan metakognitif. *Jurnal Didaktik Matematika*, 5(2), 53–64. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jdm.v5i2.11995>.
- Gasila, Y., Fadillah, S., & Wahyudi. (2019). Analisis Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa Dalam Menyelesaikan Soal IPA Di SMP Negeri Kota Pontianak. *Jurnal Inovasi Dan Pembelajaran Fisika (JIPF)*. <https://doi.org/10.36706/jipf.v6i1.10399>.
- Gunawan, Harjono, A., Hermansyah, & Herayanti, L. (2019). Guided inquiry model through virtual laboratory to enhance students' science process skills on heat concept. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 38(2), 259–268. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v38i2.23345>.

- Hanifah, H., Supriadi, N., & Widyastuti, R. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran E-learning Berbantuan Media Pembelajaran Edmodo Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Peserta Didik. *NUMERICAL: Jurnal Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*. <https://doi.org/10.25217/numerical.v3i1.453>.
- Hekmah, N., Wilujeng, I., & Suryadarma, I. G. P. (2019). Web-Lembar Kerja Siswa IPA terintegrasi lingkungan untuk meningkatkan literasi lingkungan siswa. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPA*. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jipi.v5i2.25402>.
- Hidayat, W. (2017). Adversity Quotient Dan Penalaran Kreatif Matematis Siswa Sma Dalam Pembelajaran Argument Driven Inquiry Pada Materi Turunan Fungsi. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*. <https://doi.org/10.22236/kalamatika.vol2no1.2017pp15-28>.
- Hijrihani, C. P., & Wutsqa, D. U. (2015). Keefektifan Cooperative Learning Tipe Jigsaw dan STAD Ditinjau dari Prestasi Belajar dan Kepercayaan Diri Siswa. *Pythagoras: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 10(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pg.v10i1.9091>.
- Israil, I. (2019). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Cooperative Learning Tipe STAD untuk Meningkatkan Motivasi Belajar Siswa dalam Pembelajaran IPA di SMP Negeri 1 Kayangan. *Jurnal Kependidikan: Jurnal Hasil Penelitian Dan Kajian Kepustakaan Di Bidang Pendidikan, Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran*. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jk.v5i2.1807>.
- Iswatun, I., Mosik, M., & Subali, B. (2017). Penerapan model pembelajaran inkuiri terbimbing untuk meningkatkan KPS dan hasil belajar siswa SMP kelas VIII. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPA*, 3(2), 150–160. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jipi.v3i2.14871>.
- Jubaedah, J. (2017). Penerapan Model Cooperative Learning Tipe Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran IPA. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendas*. <https://doi.org/10.31949/jcp.v3i2.591>.
- Juraini, J., Taufik, M., & Gunada, I. W. (2017). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe STAD (Student Team Achievement Division) dengan Metode Eksperimen Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Sains dan Hasil Belajar Fisika pada Siswa SMA Negeri 1 Labuapi Tahun Pelajaran 2015/2016. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Dan Teknologi*. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jpft.v2i2.293>.
- Juwita, W., Salim, A., & Winarno, W. (2018). Students' Tolerance Behavior in Religious-Based Primary School: Gender Perspective. *International Journal of Educational Research Review*, 3(3), 51–58. <https://doi.org/10.24331/ijere.426255>.
- Kamid, Sabil, H., Syafmen, W., & Triani, E. (2021). A Study of Problem Based Learning and Mathematics Process Skills in Elementary School. *Jurnal Ilmiah Sekolah Dasar*, 5(2), 359–368. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jisd.v5i2.37157>.
- Kim, D. (2018). A study on the influence of Korean Middle School Students' relationship through science class applying stad cooperative learning. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 8(4), 291–309. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.407>.
- Laksono, Y. S., Ariyanti, G., & Santoso, F. G. I. (2016). Hubungan Minat Belajar Siswa Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Matematika Siswa Dalam Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Stad Menggunakan Komik. *Jurnal Edukasi Matematika Dan Sains*, 1(2), 60–64. <https://doi.org/10.25273/jems.v1i2.143>.
- Lusidawaty, V., Fitria, Y., Miaz, Y., & Zikri, A. (2020). Pembelajaran Ipa Dengan Strategi Pembelajaran Inkuiri Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Proses Sains Dan Motivasi Belajar Siswa Di Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 4(1), 168–174. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v4i1.333>.
- Maelasari, E., & Wahyudin. (2017). Effects of Cooperative Learning STAD on Mathematical Communication Ability of Elementary School Student. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012090>.
- Mahendra, I. W. E. (2017). Project Based Learning Bermuatan Etnomatematika Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika. *JPI (Jurnal Pendidikan Indonesia)*. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jpi-undiksha.v6i1.9257>.
- Mahmud, N. (2017). Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Matematika Operasi Hitung Pecahan Pada Siswa Kelas V Sekolah Dasar Daruba 2 Kepulauan Morotai Dengan Menggunakan Model Pembelajaran Student Team Achievement Division ( STAD ). *Pedagogik*.
- Mansur, H., & Rafiudin, R. (2020). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Infografis untuk Meningkatkan Minat Belajar Mahasiswa. *Jurnal Komunikasi Pendidikan*. <https://doi.org/10.32585/jkp.v4i1.443>.
- Muhtadi, D., Wahyudin, Kartasasmita, B. G., & Prahmana, R. C. I. (2018). The Integration of technology in teaching mathematics. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 943(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/943/1/012020>.
- Muna, H., Nizaruddin, & Murtianto, Y. H. (2017). Pengembangan Video Pembelajaran Matematika Berbantuan Macromedia Flash 8 Dengan Pendekatan Kontekstual Pada Materi Program Linier Kelas XI. *Aksioma*, 8(2), 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.26877/aks.v8i2.1686>.
- Nugraheni, N. (2017). Penerapan Media Komik Pada Pembelajaran Matematika Di Sekolah Dasar. *Refleksi*



- Edukatika : Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan*, 7(2), 111–117. <https://doi.org/10.24176/re.v7i2.1587>.
- Oktaviani, W., Kristin, F., & Anugraheni, I. (2018). Penerapan model pembelajaran discovery learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kritis dan hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas 5 SD. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 2(2), 5–10. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v2i2.137>.
- Pramesti, U. D., Pramesti, U. D., & Effendi, E. E. (2018). Peningkatan Hasil Belajar Menulis Paragraf Pada Mku Bahasa Indonesia Di Universitas Negeri Padang Melalui Model Stad (Student Team-Achievement Divisions) Metode Menulis Berantai. *KREDO: Jurnal Ilmiah Bahasa Dan Sastra*. <https://doi.org/10.24176/kredo.v2i1.2565>.
- Prananda, G. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe STAD Dalam Pembelajaran IPA Siswa Kelas V SD. *Jurnal Pedagogik*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.37598/pjpp.v6i2,%20Oktober.648>.
- Pratama, G. S., & Retnawati, H. (2018). Urgency of Higher Order Thinking Skills (HOTS) Content Analysis in Mathematics Textbook. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1097(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1097/1/012147>.
- Purnomo, Y. (2016). Pengaruh Sikap Siswa pada Pelajaran Matematika dan Kemandirian Belajar Siswa terhadap Prestasi Belajar Matematika. *Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(1), 93–105. <https://doi.org/10.30998/jkpm.v2i1.1897>.
- Putri, C. A., Munzir, S., & Abidin, Z. (2019). Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematis Siswa melalui Model Pembelajaran Brain-Based Learning. *Jurnal Didaktik Matematika Universitas Syiah Kuala*, 6(1), 12–27. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jdm.v6i1.9608>.
- Quay, J. (2016). *Not ' democratic education ' but ' democracy and education ' : Reconsidering Dewey ' s oft misunderstood introduction to the philosophy of education Not ' democratic education ' but ' democracy and education ' : Reconsidering Dewey ' s oft misunderstood int. 1857*(May). <https://doi.org/10.1080/00131857.2016.1174098>.
- Rosidin, U., Kadaritna, N., & Hasnunidah, N. (2019). Can argument-driven inquiry models have impact on critical thinking skills for students with different personality types? *Cakrawala Pendidikan*. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v38i3.24725>.
- Sakdiah, S., Mursal, M., & Syukri, M. (2018). Penerapan Model Inkuiri Terbimbing Untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Konsep dan Kps Pada Materi Listrik Dinamis Siswa SMP. *Jurnal IPA & Pembelajaran IPA*. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jipi.v2i1.10727>.
- Septian, A., Agustina, D., & Maghfirah, D. (2020). Model Pembelajaran Kooperatif Tipe Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Konsep Matematika. *MATHEMA: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*. <https://doi.org/10.33365/jm.v2i2.652>.
- Setiawan, Y. (2020). Pengembangan Model Pembelajaran Matematika SD Berbasis Permainan Tradisional Indonesia dan Pendekatan Matematika Realistik. *Scholaria: Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan*, 10(1), 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.24246/j.js.2020.v10.i1.p12-21>.
- Sholihat, F. N., Samsudin, A., & Nugraha, M. G. (2017). Identifikasi Miskonsepsi dan Penyebab Miskonsepsi Siswa Menggunakan Four-Tier Diagnostic Test Pada Sub-Materi Fluida Dinamik: Azas Kontinuitas. *Jurnal Penelitian & Pengembangan Pendidikan Fisika*. <https://doi.org/10.21009/1.03208>.
- Siswono, H. (2017a). Analisis Pengaruh Keterampilan Proses Sains Terhadap Penguasaan Konsep Fisika Siswa. *Momentum: Physics Education Journal*, 1(2), 83. <https://doi.org/10.21067/mpej.v1i2.1967>.
- Siswono, H. (2017b). Analisis Pengaruh Keterampilan Proses Sains Terhadap Penguasaan Konsep Fisika Siswa. *Momentum: Physics Education Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.21067/mpej.v1i2.1967>.
- Stender, A., Schwichow, M., Zimmerman, C., & Härtig, H. (2018). Making inquiry-based science learning visible: the influence of CVS and cognitive skills on content knowledge learning in guided inquiry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(15), 1812–1831. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1504346>.
- Suharsaputra, U. (2012). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan Tindakan*. PT Refika Aditama.
- Suherti, H., & Turoya, T. F. (2019). Implementasi Model Cooperative Learning Teknik Stad Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Mengajar dalam Program Latihan Profesi. *Jurnal Soshum Insentif*, 2(1), 67–79. <https://doi.org/10.36787/jsi.v2i1.49>.
- Surya, Y. F. (2017). Penerapan model pembelajaran problem based learning untuk meningkatkan hasil belajar matematika siswa kelas IV SDN 016 Langgini Kabupaten Kampar. *Jurnal Cendekia: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 1(1), 38–53. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v1i1.7>.
- Susilawati, A., Hernani, H., & Sinaga, P. (2017). The Application of Project-Based Learning Using Mind Maps To Improve Students' Environmental Attitudes Towards Waste Management in Junior High Schools. *International Journal of Education*, 9(2), 120–125. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ije.v9i2.5466>.
- Tiwow, D., Salajang, S., & Damai, W. (2020). The Effect of Cooperative Learning Model of STAD to the Mathematics Understanding. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*,

- 438(Aes 2019), 279–282. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.200513.063>.
- van den Heuvel, R. J. F., Lexis, M. A. S., & de Witte, L. P. (2020). ZORA Robot Based Interventions to Achieve Therapeutic and Educational Goals in Children with Severe Physical Disabilities. *International Journal of Social Robotics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12369-019-00578-z>.
- Vansteensel, M. J., Kristo, G., Aarnoutse, E. J., & Ramsey, N. F. (2017). The brain-computer interface researcher's questionnaire: from research to application. *Brain-Computer Interfaces*, 4(4), 236–247. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2326263X.2017.1366237>.
- Vartiainen, J., & Kumpulainen, K. (2020). Playing with science: manifestation of scientific play in early science inquiry. *European Early Childhood Education Research Journal*, 28(4), 490–503. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1350293X.2020.1783924>.
- Widiansyah, A. (2019). Pengendalian Mutu: Implementasi Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia, Optimalisasi Fungsi Pengendalian Dalam Dunia Pendidikan. *Cakrawala - Jurnal Humaniora*, 19(1). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31294/jc.v19i1.4916>.
- Widiansyah, Apriyanti. (2018). Peranan Sumber Daya Pendidikan sebagai Faktor Penentu dalam Manajemen Sistem Pendidikan. *Manajemen Sistem Pendidikan. Cakrawala*, 18(2). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31294/jc.v18i2.4347>.
- Widiyanto, S. (2017). Pengaruh Metode Student Teams Achievement Division (STAD) dan Pemahaman Struktur Kalimat terhadap Keterampilan Menulis Narasi. *Lectura: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.31849/lectura.v8i1.285>.
- Widyaningrum, M. D., & Harjono, N. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Jigsaw Terhadap Hasil Belajar Ips Siswa Kelas 4 Sekolah Dasar. *JPPGuseda | Jurnal Pendidikan & Pengajaran Guru Sekolah Dasar*, 2(2), 57–60. <https://doi.org/10.33751/jppguseda.v2i2.1446>.
- Wijaya, A. P., Mahayukti, G. A., Gita, I. N., & Parwati, N. N. (2019). Pengaruh strategi Relating, Experiencing, Applying, Cooperating, Transferring berorientasi kearifan lokal terhadap pemecahan masalah dan karakter. *Pythagoras: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 14(2), 178–187. <https://doi.org/10.21831/pg.v14i2.25881>.
- Yulianto, I., Warsono, W., Nasution, N., & Rendy A.P, D. B. (2020). The Effect of Learning Model STAD (Student Team Achievement Division) Assisted by Media Quizizz on Motivation and Learning Outcomes in Class XI Indonesian History Subjects at SMA Trimurti Surabaya. *International Journal for Educational and Vocational Studies*, 2(11), 923–927. <https://doi.org/10.29103/ijevs.v2i11.2746>.
- Yusri, A. Y. (2018). Penerapan Pendekatan Keterampilan Proses Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Pada Peserta Didik Kelas Viii Smp Ddi Sibatua Pangkajene. *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(3), 407–418. <https://doi.org/10.31980/mosharafa.v6i3.329>.
- Zahro, Degeng, & Mudiono, A. (2018a). Pengaruh model pembelajaran student team achievement devision (STAD) dan mind mapping terhadap hasil belajar siswa kelas IV sekolah dasar. *Educandum : Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Dan Pembelajaran*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.25273/pe.v8i2.3021>.
- Zahro, F., Degeng, I. N. S., & Mudiono, A. (2018b). Pengaruh model pembelajaran student team achievement devision (STAD) dan mind mapping terhadap hasil belajar siswa kelas IV sekolah dasar. *Premiere Educandum : Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar Dan Pembelajaran*. <https://doi.org/10.25273/pe.v8i2.3021>.
- Zainuddin, Suyidno, Dewantara, D., Mahtari, S., Nur, M., Yuanita, L., & Sunarti, T. (2020). The correlation of scientific knowledge-science process skills and scientific creativity in creative responsibility based learning. *International Journal of Instruction*, 13(3), 307–316. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2020.13321a>.