

# Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Learning Model Improves Conceptual and Procedural Understanding of Junior High School Students

Sunyoto Hadi Prayitno<sup>1\*</sup>, Wawan Gunawan<sup>2</sup>, Feny Rita Fiantika<sup>3</sup>, Hartono<sup>4</sup>, Iis Holisin<sup>5</sup> 

<sup>1,2,3,4</sup> Universitas PGRI Adi Buana, Surabaya, Indonesia

<sup>5</sup> Universitas Muhammadiyah Surabaya, Indonesia

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received February 05, 2024

Accepted June 10, 2024

Available online June 25, 2024

### Kata Kunci:

RME, Pemahaman Konseptual, Pemahaman Prosedural, Matematika

### Keywords:

RME, Conceptual Understanding, Procedural Understanding, Mathematics



This is an open access article under the [CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.

Copyright ©2024 by Author. Published by Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.

## ABSTRAK

Saat ini masih banyak siswa yang merasa perlu lebih percaya diri dalam mempelajari matematika. Sebagian besar siswa lemah dalam pemahaman konseptual dan pengetahuan prosedural. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis pengaruh Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) terhadap pemahaman konseptual dan prosedural siswa. Jenis penelitian yang digunakan adalah eksperimen semu (Quasi Experiment). Desain penelitian ini adalah non-equivalent pot-test-only control group design. Populasi penelitian ini adalah seluruh siswa kelas VII yang berjumlah 133 siswa. Pengambilan sampel menggunakan teknik simple random sampling. Total sampel yaitu 58 siswa. Metode yang digunakan untuk mengumpulkan data adalah tes. Instrumen yang digunakan untuk mengumpulkan data adalah soal tes. Teknik yang digunakan untuk menganalisis data adalah analisis statistik deskriptif dan statistik inferensial. Hasil penelitian yaitu terdapat perbedaan antara siswa yang mengikuti model pembelajaran Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) dengan siswa yang tidak mengikuti model pembelajaran Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) terhadap kemampuan konseptual dan prosedural pada siswa. Disimpulkan bahwa model pembelajaran Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) dapat meningkatkan kemampuan konseptual dan prosedural pada siswa sekolah menengah pertama.

## ABSTRACT

Currently, there are still many students who feel they need to be more confident in studying mathematics. Most students are weak in conceptual understanding and procedural knowledge. This research aims to analyze the effect of the realistic mathematics education (RME) approach on students' conceptual and procedural understanding. The type of research used is a quasi-experiment (Quasi Experiment). The design of this research is a non-equivalent pot-test-only control group design. The population of this study was all class VII students, totaling 133 students. Sampling used a simple random sampling technique. The total sample is 58 students. The method used to collect data is a test. The instrument used to collect data is test questions. The techniques used to analyze data are descriptive statistical analysis and inferential statistics. The research results show differences between students who follow the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model and students who do not follow the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model regarding students' conceptual and procedural abilities. It was concluded that the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model can improve conceptual and procedural abilities in junior high school students.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Mathematics is one of the main subjects in junior high school. Mathematics taught to students is expected to provide life skills, which are, of course, very much needed in children's daily lives (Dwi Sari & Setiawan, 2020; Risdayani & Abrar, 2022; Sholikhah et al., 2018). Mathematics has many important benefits for middle school students. Some of the benefits include developing problem-solving abilities, increasing logical thinking abilities, and applying mathematical concepts in everyday life (Gitriani et al., 2018; Kurniasari, 2019; S. Sulastri et al., 2017). Research also shows that learning mathematics through

\*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: [Sunyoto.hadi@unipasby.ac.id](mailto:Sunyoto.hadi@unipasby.ac.id) (Sunyoto Hadi Prayitno)

problem-solving can improve students' ability to understand mathematical concepts (Purwasi & Fitriyana, 2019; Salma Aprianka, 2020). Learning mathematics can also help students develop critical and analytical thinking skills, which are important skills for success in the future (Dwianjani & Candiasa, 2018; Gitriani et al., 2018; Kurniasari, 2019; S. Sulastri et al., 2017). Therefore, understanding and mastering mathematics at the junior high school level is very important to equip students with the skills needed in life.

However, the current problem is that there are still many students who feel they need to be more confident when studying mathematics. This was revealed by previous research findings, which stated that there are still many students who get low mathematics learning scores (Rabbani et al., 2022; Salma Aprianka, 2020). Other research reveals that students' low mathematics learning outcomes are caused by students finding it difficult to learn and considering learning mathematics to be very difficult (Ayyubi et al., 2018; Dwianjani & Candiasa, 2018; Purwasi & Fitriyana, 2019). Other research also reveals that low mathematics learning outcomes are caused by less varied learning activities and a lack of supportive learning media (Hanifah et al., 2019; Partayasa, 2020).

Based on observations in Surabaya city schools, most students are weak in conceptual understanding and procedural knowledge. Students' weak conceptual understanding is reflected when students successfully solve mathematical problems that are the same as those demonstrated by the teacher, but when given problems that are slightly changed, students have difficulty solving them. This shows that students are only able to memorize and remember the formulas and processes involved without understanding mathematical concepts. Meanwhile, students' weak procedural knowledge is reflected when students succeed in solving mathematical problems that are the same as those exemplified by the teacher, but when given a problem, whether it is the same as the example or slightly modified, students always ask the teacher about the order of each step taken to solve the problem. . This shows that students do not understand the techniques or procedures for solving problems correctly and students still have a dependency on the teacher in solving problems. This problem is one of the causes of students' learning difficulties, especially in mathematics subjects.

Efforts to increase conceptual understanding and procedural understanding of Mathematics subjects certainly require active participation not only from teachers, but also active participation from students (Arifin & Herman, 2017; Gunawan et al., 2019; Zineb et al., 2022). For this reason, there is a need for learning capital that involves students directly, so that learning becomes meaningful. Because meaningfulness will impress students, so that the lesson will have a longer memory period (retention span) compared to rote learning. The learning that can lead to this is Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning (Darto, 2021; Nurjamaludin et al., 2021; Septia, 2021). RME learning must be linked to reality and mathematics is a human activity. This means it must be close to children and relevant to everyday situations (Nuraina et al., 2021; Rahmawati Suwanto & Wijaya, 2021). Mathematics as a human activity means that humans must be given the opportunity to rediscover mathematical ideas, concepts and procedures.

The RME approach is an approach that assumes the need for a connection between mathematics and reality that exists and can be found in everyday life (Astuti, 2018; Ekowati et al., 2021; Primasari & Zulela, 2019). These realistic problems do not mean problems that are always concrete and can be seen by the eye but include things that are easy for students to imagine. In addition, students must be given the opportunity to rediscover and construct mathematical concepts and procedures with the guidance of adults or teachers (Ahadi et al., 2021; Dewi & Izzati, 2020). Problems like this need to be resolved, solutions need to be found so that students are able to act, behave better and implement their understanding in their daily activities. Furthermore, it is a shared responsibility to do so, however, teachers have the opportunity to teach teaching material and implement it in students' daily lives or activities through a learning design in a good and positive direction.

Another factor that must be considered in learning mathematics is students' reasoning abilities. Students' reasoning abilities are an important role in the smooth running of a learning activity because it describes students' readiness to receive the lessons that will be delivered (Fuadi et al., 2016; Santosa et al., 2020). It is important for teachers to know students' reasoning abilities before starting learning, because in this way it can be seen whether students have reasoning abilities which are a prerequisite for participating in learning, students know what material will be presented (Agustin, 2019; Muslimin & Sunardi, 2019; Sariningsih & Herdiman, 2017). Good input is expected to produce good output, so that having adequate reasoning skills will really support the learning process and students' achievement of conceptual understanding and procedural understanding of mathematics (Fuadi et al., 2016; Santosa et al., 2020; Sariningsih & Herdiman, 2017). The inclusion of student characteristic variables in this research as moderator variables is also intended to increase the internal validity of this research design.

Several studies have been conducted on RME Learning (Darto, 2021; Nuraina et al., 2021; Nurjamaludin et al., 2021; Pakhrurrozi, 2021; Rahmawati Suwanto & Wijaya, 2021; F. Sulastri et al., 2021; Supriyanto et al., 2020; Witha et al., 2021; Zubaidah Amir et al., 2021). The research researched so far has

only been on the RME Learning approach in improving mathematics learning outcomes on one type of learning outcome only. Research on the RME Learning approach in increasing students' achievement of conceptual understanding and procedural understanding of mathematics has not been widely researched, even though conceptual understanding and procedural understanding in abstract mathematics are arranged in stages and sequentially starting from the basic level, conceptual understanding and procedural understanding (Mulyono et al., 2018; Sari et al., 2020). This is also in accordance with the RME and Lecture Learning approach model which is applied through reasoning skills. Based on the problems above, none of the research conducted has been tested to apply the RME and Lecture Learning approach which is applied through reasoning abilities to students' conceptual understanding and procedural understanding of mathematics in class VII in the city of Surabaya. The aim of this research is to analyze the influence of the realistic mathematics education (RME) approach on students' conceptual and procedural understanding.

## 2. METHOD

This type of research is quasi-experimental (Quasi Experiment) (Widiantini et al., 2017). Quasi-experiments do not allow for controlling external variables that influence the implementation of the experiment. The research design used in this study was a non-equivalent pot-test-only control group design. In this research, there is an experimental class and a control class. In the experimental class, treatment was given using the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning model. Meanwhile, the control class received treatment by not using the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning model. The population of this study was all class VII students, totaling 133 students. Sampling in this research used a simple random sampling technique. Simple Random Sampling is randomly taking sample members from a population without paying attention to the strata in the population (Handika et al., 2021). The total sample for this research was 58 students. The method used to collect data is a test. The test method is used to collect data after students are given treatment to test the effectiveness of the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning model. The instrument used to collect data is test questions. The test question grid is presented in Table 1.

**Table 1. Research Instrument Grid**

| No. | Indicator   | No. Items | Number of Items |
|-----|---|-----------|-----------------|
| 1   | Ability to present mathematical statements verbally, in writing, drawings, sketches or diagrams | 1,2       | 2               |
| 2   | Ability to make allegations   | 3,4,5     | 3               |
| 3   | Ability to determine patterns   | 6,7,8     | 3               |
| 4   | Ability to perform mathematical manipulation  | 9,10, 11  | 3               |
| 5   | Ability to provide reasons for several solutions  | 12,13     | 2               |
| 6   | Ability to check the validity of an argument  | 14        | 1               |
| 7   | Ability to draw conclusions or make generalizations   | 15        | 1               |

The techniques used to analyze data are descriptive statistical analysis and inferential statistics. Descriptive statistical analysis determines the high or low quality of students' conceptual and procedural understanding. This technique calculates the mean, median, mode, and standard deviation. Inferential statistical analysis is used to process data to test research hypotheses. The prerequisite tests in this research consist of a normality test of data distribution, a variance homogeneity test, and a correlation test between dependent variables. Hypothesis testing uses two analyses, namely the first and second hypotheses using the Anava test and the third hypothesis using the Manova test.

## 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Result

The aim of this research is to analyze the influence of the realistic mathematics education (RME) approach on students' conceptual and procedural understanding. The results of this research are as follows. The description of the data that follows the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning model for students' conceptual understanding is that the maximum student score is 94. The minimum student score is 56. The average student score is 83.7, the median is 86.4, and the mode is 88. The results of This research are as follows. The description of the data that follows the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning model for students' procedural understanding is that the maximum student score is 97. The minimum student score is 70. The average student score is 89.8, the median is 90.4, and the mode is 92.8. Description of data that does not follow the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning model for students'

conceptual understanding: The maximum student score is 88. The minimum student score is 56. The average student score is 74, the median is 70.5, and the mode is 70. The description of the data obtained does not follow the Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) learning model for students' procedural understanding; the maximum student score is 87. The minimum student score is 60. The average student score is 74.8, the median is 72.3, and the mode is 72. Next, a normality test is carried out. Research data. The normality test results are presented in Table 2.

**Table 2. Normality Test Results**

| No. | Data Group   | X <sup>2</sup> Count | X <sup>2</sup> Table | Output |
|-----|--|----------------------|----------------------|--------|
| 1   | Conceptual understanding of the experimental group | 5.9                  | 7.814                | Normal |
| 2   | Procedural understanding of the experimental group | 5.4                  | 7.814                | Normal |
| 3   | Conceptual understanding of the control group      | 5.4                  | 7.815                | Normal |
| 4   | Control group procedural understanding             | 3.9                  | 7.815                | Normal |

The calculations based on the Chi-Square formula showed that the normality test results for conceptual understanding in the experimental group were 5.9, so the data was normal. The results of the procedural understanding normality test in the experimental group were 5.4, so the data was normal. The control group's conceptual understanding normality test results were 5.4, so the data was normal. The normality test result for the control group's procedural understanding was 3.9, so the data was normal. The homogeneity test results are presented in Table 3.

**Table 3. Results of Homogeneity of Variants Test with F-Test**

| No. | Data Group   | Variant | X <sup>2</sup> Count | X <sup>2</sup> Table | Output |
|-----|--|---------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|
| 1   | Conceptual understanding of the experimental group | 93.2    | 1.19                 | 1.891                | Normal |
| 2   | Procedural understanding of the experimental group | 78.5    | 1.19                 | 1.891                | Normal |
| 3   | Conceptual understanding of the control group      | 49.8    | 1.12                 | 1.891                | Normal |
| 4   | Control group procedural understanding             | 44.4    | 1.12                 | 1.891                | Normal |

The homogeneity test results show that the experimental group's conceptual and procedural understanding data is 1.19,  $F_{count} < F_{Table}$ , so the data is homogeneous. The homogeneity test results in the control group were 1.12,  $F_{count} < F_{Table}$ , so the data was homogeneous. The correlation test results between the dependent variables in the experimental group were 0.17 (calculated  $r_{xy} = 0.17 < table r_{xy} = 0.388$ ), so the conceptual and procedural understanding variables were not correlated. The product moment test result in the control group was 0.17, so conceptual and procedural understanding variables were not correlated. Based on this, it can be concluded that the data can be continued with hypothesis testing.

The results of hypothesis test I are using the one-way variance analysis formula (Anava A). The results of data analysis showed that the  $F_{count}$  was 22.7 while the  $F_{Table}$  was 4.01. The results of this analysis show that  $F_{count} > F_{Table}$  so that there is a difference between students who follow the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model and students who do not follow the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model regarding conceptual understanding. The results of hypothesis test II are  $F_{count}$  70.44 while  $F_{Table}$  4.01. The results of this analysis show that  $F_{count} > F_{Table}$  so that there is a difference between students who follow the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model and students who do not follow the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model regarding procedural understanding. Hypothesis Test III uses Manova with the help of SPSS. The results of the Manova test analysis are presented in Table 4.

**Table 4. Summary of Multivariate Tests**

| Effect    | Value              | Value | F                     | Hypothesis df | Error df | Sig   |
|-----------|--------------------|-------|-----------------------|---------------|----------|-------|
| Intercept | Pillai's           | 0.99  | 5292.948 <sup>a</sup> | 2.000         | 55.000   | 0.000 |
|           | Wilks' Lambda      | 0.00  |                       |               |          | 0.000 |
|           | Hotelling's Trace  | 192.4 |                       |               |          | 0.000 |
|           | Roy's Largest Root | 192.4 |                       |               |          | 0.000 |
| RME       | Pillai's           | 0.59  | 40.257 <sup>b</sup>   | 2.000         | 55.000   | 0.000 |
|           | Wilks' Lambda      | 0.40  |                       |               |          | 0.000 |
|           | Hotelling's Trace  | 1.46  |                       |               |          | 0.000 |
|           | Roy's Largest Root | 1.46  |                       |               |          | 0.000 |



The results of the data analysis show that the significance value is 0.000. Because the significance value obtained is  $0.000 > 0.05$ , it can be concluded that there is a simultaneous difference between the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model and students who are not taught using the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model.

## Discussion

The data analysis results show simultaneous differences in the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model with students who are not taught using the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model. This is caused by several factors, namely as follows. First, the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model can improve students' conceptual understanding. The RME approach supports students' mathematics learning, which can build conceptual understanding in students (Mulyati, 2021; Rizqi et al., 2021; Wesna et al., 2021). In order for students to be able to build a logical application of the RME Approach, students must be able to connect the schema of related concepts by exploring knowledge about previous concepts related to the problem to be solved (Hasunah, 2021; Lestari & Syafri, 2021; Sukmaningthias et al., 2021). Based on theoretical studies regarding students' conceptual understanding and procedural understanding of mathematics by applying the RME approach, it can be concluded that it is better than applying the lecture method learning in this research. Students who are familiar with the RME Approach in this way can remember and connect related mathematical concepts completely (Ariningsih et al., 2023; Laurens et al., 2018; Ridha et al., 2021).

Second, the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model can improve students' procedural understanding. Creating procedural understanding in students requires good knowledge of mathematical concepts (Armanza & Asyhar, 2020; Mulyono et al., 2018). Thus, students who have good conceptual readiness and procedural understanding will be able to connect the problems they face with previous knowledge (Putri & Prihatnani, 2020; Rizal dkk., 2022a, 2022b). The RME approach is applied with high reasoning abilities, which will increase Conceptual Understanding and Procedural Understanding. This is reinforced by previous findings, namely that for learning to occur, students must take action on new information and connect new Conceptual Understanding and procedural understanding with reasoning abilities (Claudia, 2017; Mulyono et al., 2018). RME learning aims to equip students with knowledge that can be applied (transferred) flexibly from one problem to another and from one context to another (Cindyana et al., 2022; Salma Aprianika, 2020). This is what forms procedural understanding in students.

Third, the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model can improve students' conceptual and procedural understanding. Students' conceptual and procedural understanding of mathematics requires them to build logical understanding by connecting what they already know and have learned, starting from what is asked (Claudia, 2017; Susiloningsih, 2019; Zahroh et al., 2022). When viewed from the concept understanding indicators, the level of concept understanding includes the ability to interpret, give examples, classify, summarize, and draw conclusions. Compare and explain (Nurdin et al., 2019; Yulianty, 2019). Supporting factors that can be used as a reference for student learning achievement are understanding concepts (Alawiya et al., 2022; Izzah & Fitriyani, 2019). Students with low conceptual and procedural understanding experience difficulties in learning in schools that still use traditional methods.

Previous research findings also confirm that the RME learning model can make it easier for students to learn mathematics (Salma Aprianika, 2020; S. Sulastri & Kusmanto, 2016). Other research also states that implementing the RME model can significantly improve student learning outcomes (Ananda, 2018; Ardina et al., 2019; Yudianto et al., 2021). From the opinion above, it can be concluded that in order for learning to occur which can improve learning outcomes, there needs to be an approach with the RME learning model (Mafidah, 2021; Ndiung et al., 2021; Yudianto et al., 2021). It was concluded that the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model can help students learn mathematics. The limitation of this research is that the application of the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model was only carried out in class VII junior high school students and only measured students' conceptual and procedural understanding. This research implies that the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model that teachers apply in mathematics learning activities increases a pleasant learning atmosphere and motivates students to learn. This causes students' conceptual and procedural understanding to increase.

## 4. CONCLUSION

The data analysis results show simultaneous differences in the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model with students who are not taught using the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model. It can be concluded that the realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model can improve conceptual and procedural understanding of mathematics in junior high school students. The realistic mathematics education (RME) learning model helps students learn, making it easier to understand mathematics learning.

## 5. REFERENCES

- Agustin, N. M. (2019). Kemampuan Penalaran Matematika Siswa Melalui Model Pembelajaran Core and Pairs Check (CPC) Pada Materi Statistika Siswa Kelas XI MA Ma'arif NU Jenggawah. *JPM : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 47. <https://doi.org/10.33474/jpm.v5i1.2629>.
- Ahadi, F. Al, Asikin, M., & Wardono. (2021). Mathematical Literacy Reviewed from Student 's Metacognition On the PJBL Learning with RME Approach Assisted Edmodo. *UJMER-Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, 10(1).
- Alawiya, T., Dinar, M., & Asdar, A. (2022). Deskripsi Pemahaman Konseptual dan Prosedural pada Materi Persamaan Garis Lurus ditinjau dari Kecerdasan Logis Matematis Siswa. *Issues in Mathematics Education (IMED)*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.35580/imed32210>.
- Ananda, R. (2018). Penerapan Pendekatan Realistics Mathematics Education (Rme) Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Cendekia : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(1), 125–133. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v2i1.39>.
- Ardina, F. N., Fajriyah, K., & Budiman, M. A. (2019). Keefektifan model realistic mathematic education berbantu media manipulatif terhadap hasil belajar matematika pada materi operasi pecahan. *Jurnal Pedagogi dan Pembelajaran*, 2(2), 151. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jp2.v2i2.17902>.
- Arifin, F., & Herman, T. (2017). The Influence of E-Learning Model Web Enhanced Course to Conceptual Understanding and Self Regulated Learning in Mathematics for Elementary School Students. *TARBIYA: Journal of Education in Muslim Society*. <https://doi.org/10.15408/tjems.v4i1.5536>.
- Ariningsih, I., Fitriani, D., Sari, A., & Irma, A. (2023). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Siswa (LKS) Berbasis Model Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Pada Materi Statistika. *JURING (Journal for Research in Mathematics Learning)*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.24014/juring.v6i1.19150>.
- Armanza, R., & Asyhar, B. (2020). Pemahaman Konseptual dan Prosedural Siswa SMA/MA dalam Menyelesaikan Soal Program Linier Berdasarkan Tipe Kepribadian. *Jurnal Tadris Matematika*, 3(2), 163–176. <https://doi.org/10.21274/jtm.2020.3.2.163-176>.
- Astuti, A. (2018). Penerapan Realistic Mathematic Education (Rme) Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas Vi Sd. *Jurnal Cendekia : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(1), 49–61. <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v2i1.32>.
- Ayyubi, I. I. A., Nudin, E., & Bernard, M. (2018). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa SMA. *JPMI (Jurnal Pembelajaran Matematika Inovatif)*, 1(3), 355–360. <https://doi.org/10.22460/jpmi.v1i3.p355-360>.
- Cindyana, E. A., Alim, J. A., & Noviana, E. (2022). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Berdiferensiasi Berbantuan Materi Ajar Geometri Berbasis Rme Terhadap Kemampuan Penalaran Matematis Siswa Kelas 3 Sekolah Dasar. *JURNAL PAJAR (Pendidikan dan Pengajaran)*, 6(4), 1179–1187. <https://doi.org/10.33578/pjr.v6i4.8837>.
- Claudia, L. F. (2017). Pemahaman Konseptual dan Keterampilan Prosedural Siswa Kelas VIII Melalui Media Flash Player. *Seminar Nasional Integrasi Matematika dan Nilai Islami*, 1(1).
- Darto. (2021). The effect of learning realistic mathematics education (RME) Approach to improve students' mathematical communication. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1776(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1776/1/012003>.
- Dewi, M. D., & Izzati, N. (2020). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran PowerPoint Interaktif Berbasis RME Materi Aljabar Kelas VII SMP. *Delta: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 8(2), 217. <https://doi.org/10.31941/delta.v8i2.1039>.
- Dwi Sari, N., & Setiawan, J. (2020). Papan Gekola Sebagai Media Pembelajaran Matematika Yang Inovatif Dengan Pendekatan Steam. *Jurnal Sainatika Unpam : Jurnal Sains dan Matematika Unpam*, 3(1), 31. <https://doi.org/10.32493/jsmu.v3i1.4728>.
- Dwianjani, N. K. V., & Candiasa, I. M. (2018). Identifikasi Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika. *NUMERICAL: Jurnal Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(2), 153. <https://doi.org/10.25217/numerical.v2i2.276>.
- Ekowati, D. W., Azzahra, F. Z., Saputra, S. Y., & Suwandayani, B. I. (2021). Realistic mathematics education (RME) approach for primary school students' reasoning ability. *Premiere Educandum : Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar dan Pembelajaran*, 11(2), 269. <https://doi.org/10.25273/pe.v11i2.8397>.
- Fuadi, R., Johar, R., & Munzir, S. (2016). Peningkatkan Kemampuan Pemahaman dan Penalaran Matematis melalui Pendekatan Kontekstual. *Jurnal Didaktik Matematika*, 3(1), 47–54. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jdm.v3i1.4305>.
- Gitriani, R., Aisah, S., Hendriana, H., & Herdiman, I. (2018). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Siswa Berbasis Pendekatan Kontekstual pada Materi Lingkaran Untuk Siswa SMP. *Jurnal Review Pembelajaran Matematika*, 3(1), 40–48. <https://doi.org/10.15642/jrpm.2018.3.1.40-48>.
- Gunawan, W., Degeng, I. N. S., Utaya, S., & Sulton. (2019). The improvement of conceptual and procedural

- understanding by scaffolding with responsiveness. *International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change*, 7(5).
- Handika, D. A., Wahyuni, S., & Ronald, J. (2021). Pengaruh Pengelolaan Kelas, Minat Belajar, Penggunaan Handphone, Rasa Percaya Diri Dan Pola Asuh Orang Tua Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Siswa Kelas Vii Smp Negeri 3 Palembang. *Jurnal Horizon Pendidikan*, 1(4), 601–613. <https://doi.org/10.22202/horizon.v1i2.4747>.
- Hanifah, H., Supriadi, N., & Widyastuti, R. (2019). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran E-learning Berbantuan Media Pembelajaran Edmodo Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Peserta Didik. *NUMERICAL: Jurnal Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika*. <https://doi.org/10.25217/numerical.v3i1.453>.
- Hasunah, H. (2021). Upaya Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Penjumlahan Dan Pengurangan Pecahan Melalui Model Pembelajaran Rme Pada Siswa Kelas V Sdn Triwungan Kecamatan Kotaanyar Tahun Pelajaran 2018/2019. *Pedagogy: Jurnal Ilmiah Ilmu Pendidikan*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.51747/jp.v8i1.697>
- Izzah, A., & Fitriyani, H. (2019). Efektivitas Pendekatan Rigorous Mathematical Thinking ( Rmt ) Terhadap Pemahaman Konseptual Matematis Siswa. *Prosiding Sendika*, 5(1).
- Kurniasari, E. (2019). Pengaruh Perhatian Orang Tua Dan Motivasi Belajar Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas Viii Smp N 1 Karanganom. *ABSIS: Mathematics Education Journal*, 1(1), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.32585/absis.v1i1.306>.
- Laurens, T., Batlolona, F. A., Batlolona, J. R., & Leasa, M. (2018). How does realistic mathematics education (RME) improve students' mathematics cognitive achievement? *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/76959>.
- Lestari, A., & Syafri, F. S. (2021). Pengembangan Soal Open-Ended Berbasis RME Materi Geometri (Luas Permukaan dan Volume Balok). *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.36709/jpm.v12i1.14789>.
- MAFIDAH, E. (2021). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Realistik Mathematic Education (Rme) Dan Problem Posing Serta Kemampuan Awal Siswa Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika. *SCIENCE: Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan Matematika dan IPA*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.51878/science.v1i2.410>.
- Mulyati, A. (2021). Upaya Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran Matematika Materi Kecepatan Penerapan Model Realistik Mathematics Education ( Rme ) Siswa Kelas V Upt. Sd Negeri 09 Baringin. *Ensiklopedia Education Review*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.33559/eer.v3i1.700>.
- Mulyono, B., Kusumah, Y. S., & Rosjanuardi, R. (2018). Cara Identifikasi Pengetahuan Prosedural Dan Pemahaman Konseptual Mahasiswa Terhadap Materi Limit. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.22342/jpm.13.1.6706.73-82>.
- Muslimin, M., & Sunardi, S. (2019). Analisis Kemampuan Penalaran Matematika Siswa SMA Pada Materi Geometri Ruang. *Kreano, Jurnal Matematika Kreatif-Inovatif*, 10(2), 171–178. <https://doi.org/10.15294/kreano.v10i2.18323>.
- Ndiung, S., Sariyasa, Jehadus, E., & Apsari, R. A. (2021). The effect of treffinger creative learning model with the use rme principles on creative thinking skill and mathematics learning outcome. *International Journal of Instruction*, 14(2). <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2021.14249a>.
- Nuraina, N., Fauzi, K. M. A., & Simbolon, N. (2021). The Effect of Realistic Mathematics Educations (RME) Approach Based on Ethnomatics on the Improvement of Concept Understanding Ability and Students' Learning Motivation in Elementary School Al-Kausar City of Langsa. *Budapest International Research and Critics in Linguistics and Education (BirLE) Journal*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.33258/birle.v4i1.1707>.
- Nurdin, E., Ma'aruf, A., Amir, Z., Risnawati, R., Noviarni, N., & Azmi, M. P. (2019). Pemanfaatan video pembelajaran berbasis Geogebra untuk meningkatkan kemampuan pemahaman konsep matematis siswa SMK. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(1), 87–98. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v6i1.18421>.
- Nurjamaludin, M., Gunawan, D., Adireja, R. K., & Alani, N. (2021). Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) approach to increase student's problem solving skill in elementary school. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1987(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1987/1/012034>.
- Pakhrurrozi, I. (2021). Pengaruh Penggunaan Model Pembelajaran Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) terhadap hasil belajar siswa pada pokok bahasan teorema pythagoras di Madrasah Tsanawiyah. *Jurnal al Muta'aliyah: Pendidikan Guru Madrasah Ibtidaiyah*, 1(01). <https://doi.org/10.51700/jie.v7i01.153>.
- Partayasa, W. (2020). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Creative Problem Solving (CPS) Berbantuan Video Pembelajaran Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah ditinjau dari Minat. *JNPM (Jurnal Nasional Pendidikan Matematika)*, 4(1), 168. <https://doi.org/10.33603/jnpm.v4i1.2644>.

- Primasari, I. F. N. D., & Zulela, F. (2019). Model Mathematics Realistic Education (RME) Pada Materi Pecahan di Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 1(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v5i4.1115>.
- Purwasi, L. A., & Fitriyana, N. (2019). Pengembangan Lembar Kerja Siswa Berbasis Pendekatan Open-Ended Untuk Melatih Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa SMP. *Kreano, Jurnal Matematika Kreatif-Inovatif*, 10(1), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.15294/kreano.v10i1.16825>.
- Putri, Y. E., & Prihatnani, E. (2020). Perbandingan PBL Dan DL Terhadap Pemahaman Konseptual Siswa Kelas XI Ditinjau Dari Motivasi Belajar. *Jurnal Cendekia : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.31004/cendekia.v4i1.154>.
- Rabbani, A., Baidowi, B., Wahidaturrahmi, W., & Sripatmi, S. (2022). Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematika Ditinjau dari Tipe Kepribadian Myers Briggs Type Indicator (MBTI) Siswa Kelas IX. *Jurnal Ilmiah Profesi Pendidikan*, 7(3b), 1525–1533. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jipp.v7i3b.815>.
- Rahmawati Suwanto, F., & Wijaya, A. (2021). The Enhancement of Students Mathematical Communication Ability Through RME-Textbook. *SJME (Supremum Journal of Mathematics Education)*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.35706/sjme.v5i1.4412>.
- Ridha, F., Suharti, S., Halimah, A., & Nur, F. (2021). Efektivitas Penerapan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) terhadap Kemampuan Pemahaman Konsep. *JKPM (Jurnal Kajian Pendidikan Matematika)*, 6(2). <https://doi.org/10.30998/jkpm.v6i2.8378>.
- Risdayanti, S., & Abrar, A. I. P. (2022). Pengaruh Pola Asuh Orang Tua dan Disiplin Belajar Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Peserta Didik. *Alauddin Journal of Mathematics Education*, 4(1), 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.24252/ajme.v4i1.25472>.
- Rizal, M., Awuy, E., Linawati, L., & Alfisyahra, A. (2022). Analisis Pemahaman Konseptual Pecahan Siswa Smp Kelas Vii Bergaya Kognitif FI dan FD. *Aksioma*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.22487/aksioma.v11i1.1963>.
- Rizqi, H. Y., Waluya, S. B., & Wiyanto. (2021). Mathematics Communication Skill Viewed from Self-Confidence in Auditory Intellectually Repetition (AIR) Learning Model with RME Approach. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, 10(2).
- Salma Aprianika, S. (2020). Penerapan Bahan Ajar Matematika Berbasis Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa. *Jurnal PEKA (Pendidikan Matematika)*, 3(2), 60–63. <https://doi.org/10.37150/jp.v3i2.796>.
- Santosa, F. H., Negara, H. R. P., & Samsul Bahri. (2020). Efektivitas Pembelajaran Google Classroom Terhadap Kemampuan Penalaran Matematis Siswa. *Jurnal Pemikiran dan Penelitian Pendidikan Matematika (JP3M)*, 3(1), 62–70. <https://doi.org/10.36765/jp3m.v3i1.254>.
- Sari, N., Indiati, I., & Endahwuri, D. (2020). Analisis Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematika Siswa ditinjau dari Pemahaman Konseptual dan Pengetahuan Prosedural. *Imajiner: Jurnal Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika*, 2(6). <https://doi.org/10.26877/imajiner.v2i6.6700>.
- Sariningsih, R., & Herdiman, I. (2017). Mengembangkan kemampuan penalaran statistik dan berpikir kreatif matematis mahasiswa di Kota Cimahi melalui pendekatan open-ended. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(2), 239. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v4i2.16685>.
- Septia, Y. Iusiana. (2021). Penerapan Bahan Ajar Matematika Berbasis Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Kreatif Matematis. *Jurnal PEKA (Pendidikan Matematika)*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.37150/jp.v3i2.797>.
- Sholikhah, Z., Kartana, T. J., & Utami, W. B. (2018). Efektifitas Model Pembelajaran Open-Ended Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Matematika Ditinjau Dari Kreativitas Siswa. *JES-MAT (Jurnal Edukasi dan Sains Matematika)*, 4(1), 35. <https://doi.org/10.25134/jes-mat.v4i1.908>.
- Sukmaningthias, N., Susanti, E., & Nuraeni, Z. (2021). *Development Student Worksheet Based on RME Assisted by Cabri 3D Oriented to Mathematical Connections*. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201230.178>.
- Sulastri, F., Runisah, R., & Ismunandar, D. (2021). Efektivitas Pendekatan Realistic Mathematics Education (Rme) Berbantuan Aplikasi Edmodo Terhadap Kemampuan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa. *Delta: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.31941/delta.v9i1.1278>.
- Sulastri, S., & Kusmanto, B. (2016). Upaya Meningkatkan Motivasi Dan Prestasi Belajar Matematika Melalui Model Rme (Realistic Mathematics Education) Siswa Kelas Ix a Smp Negeri 04 Bumiayu Semester 1 Tahun Pelajaran 2013/2014. *UNION: Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(3), 435–444. <https://doi.org/10.30738/v4i3.443>.
- Sulastri, S., Marwan, M., & Duskri, M. (2017). Kemampuan Representasi Matematis Siswa SMP Melalui Pendekatan Pendidikan Matematika Realistik. *Beta: Jurnal Tadris Matematika*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.20414/betajtm.v10i1.101>.
- Supriyanto, J., Suparman, & Hairun, Y. (2020). Design of worksheets for RME model to improve mathematical communication. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 8(4). <https://doi.org/10.13189/ujer.2020.080429>.



- Susiloningsih, W. (2019). Analisis Pemahaman Konseptual Mahasiswa Pgsd Pada Mata Kuliah Perencanaan Menggunakan Pendekatan Saintifik. *Jurnal Basicedu*, 4(1). <https://doi.org/10.31004/basicedu.v4i1.270>.
- Wesna, M., Wardono, & Masrukan. (2021). Mathematical literacy ability in terms of the independent learning students on reciprocal teaching learning models with approaching RME assisted by google classroom. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1918(4). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1918/4/042040>.
- Widiantini, N. N. A. S., Putra, M., & Wiarta, I. W. (2017). Model Pembelajaran Sets (Science, Environment, Technology, Society) Berbantuan Virtual Lab Berpengaruh Terhadap Kompetensi Pengetahuan IPA. *Journal of Education Technology*. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v1i2.11776>.
- Witha, T. S., Karjiyati, V., & Tarmizi, P. (2021). Pengaruh Model RME Berbasis Etnomatematika Terhadap Kemampuan Literasi Matematika Siswa Kelas IV SD Gugus 17 Kota Bengkulu. *JURIDIKDAS: Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Dasar*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.33369/juridikdas.3.2.136-143>.
- Yudianto, A., Afifah, N., Fatonah, I., & Suridin, S. (2021). Upaya Meningkatkan Aktivitas Dan Hasil Belajar Peserta Didik Dengan Menggunakan Metode Realistic Mathematics Education (RME) di SDN 2 Kotagajah Lampung Tengah Tahun Pelajaran 2019/2020. *AR-RIAYAH: Jurnal Pendidikan Dasar*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.29240/jpd.v5i1.2681>.
- Yulianty, N. (2019). Kemampuan Pemahaman Konsep Matematika Siswa Dengan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik. *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Raflesia*, 4(1), 60–65. <https://doi.org/10.33449/jpmr.v4i1.7530>.
- Zahroh, S. H., Fauzi, A., & Nikat, R. F. (2022). Pemahaman Konseptual Siswa Menggunakan Scs Problem-Solving Disertai Cps Pada Materi Hukum Newton. *Jurnal Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Fisika*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.36706/jipf.v9i1.16653>.
- Zineb, S., Youssef, F., & Aniss, M. (2022). The Effects of Gamification on E-learning Education: Systematic Literature Review and Conceptual Model. *Statistics, Optimization and Information Computing*, 10(1). <https://doi.org/10.19139/soic-2310-5070-1115>.
- Zubaidah Amir, M. Z., Urrohmah, A., & Andriani, L. (2021). The effect of application of realistic mathematics education (RME) approach to mathematical reasoning ability based on mathematics self efficacy of junior high school students in Pekanbaru. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1776(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1776/1/012039>.