

The Impact of Digital Leadership on Teachers' Acceptance and Use of Digital Technologies

I Gusti Ketut Arya Sunu^{1*}, 

¹Administrasi Pendidikan, Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha, Singaraja, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received June 19, 2022

Revised June 20, 2022

Accepted July 30, 2022

Available online August 25, 2022

Kata Kunci:

Kepemimpinan Digital;
Teknologi Digital; Guru

Keywords:

Digital Leadership; Digital
Technology; Teachers



This is an open access article under the
[CC BY-SA](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/4.0/) license.

Copyright © 2022 by Author. Published by
Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha.

ABSTRAK

Minimnya kompetensi digital guru menyebabkan pembelajaran tidak terlaksana secara maksimal. Dengan demikian, tantangan bagi guru semakin berat karena di era digital ini, penguasaan teknologi sangat berperan dalam menciptakan pendidikan yang berkualitas. Mengingat pentingnya teknologi dan peran kepemimpinan dalam pendidikan, penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menganalisis dampak kepemimpinan digital pada penerimaan guru dan penggunaan teknologi digital. Penelitian ini dilakukan di 27 Sekolah Dasar di satu kota. Enam guru dari masing-masing sekolah dipilih secara acak untuk menjadi sampel penelitian. Jadi, ada 162 guru yang terlibat dalam sampel penelitian. Data penelitian dikumpulkan dengan menyebarkan kuesioner untuk mengukur kepemimpinan digital dan kuesioner untuk mengukur penerimaan guru dan penggunaan teknologi digital. Data yang terkumpul dianalisis menggunakan analisis regresi sederhana dengan bantuan SPSS 20 for windows. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa signifikansi arah regresi adalah 8.581 dengan signifikansi (sig.) sebesar 0,000 yang menunjukkan bahwa arah regresi signifikan. Oleh karena itu, dapat disimpulkan bahwa kepemimpinan digital secara signifikan mempengaruhi penerimaan dan penggunaan teknologi digital oleh guru. Karena kepemimpinan digital diperlukan untuk meningkatkan kualitas pendidikan, disarankan agar kepala sekolah meningkatkan kepemimpinan digital mereka. Karena penelitian ini hanya terbatas pada dua variabel, maka perlu dilakukan penelitian lebih lanjut dengan melibatkan variabel yang lebih independen, mengingat berbagai faktor yang dapat mempengaruhi penerimaan dan penggunaan teknologi.

ABSTRACT

Teachers' lack of digital competence causes learning not to be carried out optimally. Thus, the challenge for teachers is getting tougher since, in this digital era, technology mastery plays a significant role in creating quality education. Considering the importance of technology and the role of leadership in education, this study aimed to analyses the impact of digital leadership on teachers' acceptance and use of digital technologies. This research was done involving 27 elementary schools in one city. Six teachers from each school were randomly selected to be the study samples. So, there were 162 teachers involved in the research samples. The research data were collected by distributing questionnaires to measure digital leadership and questionnaires to measure teachers' acceptance and use of digital technology. The collected data were analyzed using simple regression analysis with the help of SPSS 20 for windows. The study showed that the significance of the regression direction was 8.581 with a significance (sig.) of 0.000, which indicates that the regression direction is significant. Therefore, it can be concluded that digital leadership significantly influences teachers' acceptance and use of digital technology. Since digital leadership is needed to increase education quality, it is suggested that the school principals enhance their digital leadership. Since this research was only limited to two variables, it is necessary to do further research involving more independent variables, considering the various factors that may affect the acceptance and use of technology.

*Corresponding author

E-mail addresses: arya.sunu@undiksha.ac.id (I Gusti Ketut Arya Sunu)

1. INTRODUCTION

Education is a structured and designed activity that aims to complete the targeted objectives. In general, this activity aims to produce quality human capital (Atalay, 2015; Benos & Karagiannis, 2016). This activity is conducted through an instructional process that involves teachers' and students' interactions at schools (Basilaia & Kvavadze, 2020; Mariana, 2015; Muhtar & Dallyono, 2020). In running the educational activities, the principal's leadership has a crucial role in producing quality human capital. The task and function of the principal are to enhance the teacher's professionalism in doing their jobs since the leadership of the principal resources potentially determines the effectiveness of the school organization (Díez et al., 2020; Karkouti et al., 2022; O'Shea, 2021). The running of a conducive and comfortable organization cannot be separated from the principal's capability in planning, controlling, and mobilizing teachers and staff, which becomes the principal's primary task (Nash et al., 2021; U-Sayee & Adomako, 2021). Therefore, the school principal is responsible for ensuring the quality of the school, including improving the quality of students' and teachers' professionalism in conducting the instructional process (Ramos-Pla et al., 2021; Taghavinia et al., 2021). Various efforts are needed to achieve the intended results in those roles (Aditya Dharma, 2019; R. N. K. Rambe, 2018).

The school principals' responsibility becomes even more severe, throughout the Covid-19 pandemic, in ensuring that the implementation of education goes well through online learning (Beilstein et al., 2021; Bradley & Sellars, 2020). The application of online learning as a substitute for face-to-face learning, which is done in real-time, caused problems for teachers (Abuhammad, 2020; Lemay et al., 2021; Noori, 2021). The issues included teachers' lack of digital competence and supporting facilities for online learning (Garzón-Artacho et al., 2021; Tomczyk, 2020). Other studies also confirmed that the current issue is teachers' lack of digital competence who can support online learning (Hibana & Surahman, 2021; Jannah et al., 2020). The challenge for teachers is getting tougher because mastery of technology plays a role in creating quality education. Teachers should ideally first understand technology better than students. This digital competence is indispensable as an information base supporting instructional activities (Danniels et al., 2020; Teräs et al., 2020). Moreover, currently, teachers have to conduct online learning (Laksana et al., 2019; Mali & Lim, 2021; Subedi et al., 2020).

The teacher's lack of understanding of technology will impact learning activities that are still conventional and do not keep up with the times (Batubara, 2017; Budiyo, 2020). As a result, students will experience learning saturation in the long term because they feel they do not have an impressive learning experience (Dinayusadewi et al., 2020; Priantini, 2020). It causes the digitalization in instructional activities is necessary to maximize learning outcomes. Digitalizing the education system is a response from Education 4.0 and is in line with the industrial revolution 4.0, which requires teachers to have additional capabilities, namely digital competence (Anggraeni et al., 2019; Shodiq & Zainiyati, 2020). In other words, the role of digitizing learning is needed as an effort to maximize learning outcomes. Some previous studies also confirmed that current technology has a crucial role in education (Ghory & Ghafory, 2021; Wijaya et al., 2021). This requires principals to play an active role in ensuring that every teacher can use the latest technology to organize online learning (AlAjmi, 2022a; Konstantinidou & Scherer, 2022).

In realizing schools are accustomed to using the latest technology in the teaching and learning process, the principal's leadership has an important role (Liao et al., 2021; Navaridas-Nalda et al., 2020). Principals must be able to optimally utilize all available resources to reach the education goals (Agustina et al., 2020; Flores & Derrington, 2017). Leadership deals with organizing and motivating others to do new things and enhancing the effectiveness of the school organization. Principals must have skills in influencing, encouraging, guiding, directing, and mobilizing others who have to do with the implementation and development of education so that activities can run effectively and efficiently so that they can reach the educational objectives that have been appointed (Effendi et al., 2020; Honig & Rainey, 2019; Ramos-Pla et al., 2021). The principal is the major actor responsible for managing inputs, processes, and outputs following the national education standards (Ratmini et al., 2019; Subandi, 2018).

Leadership related to the use of current technology is called digital leadership (AlAjmi, 2022a; Karakose et al., 2021). Digital leadership is the art of directing, influencing others, initiating sustainable change through access to information, and building relationships to anticipate changes critical to future school success (Agustina et al., 2020; Karakose et al., 2021). For that, it takes a dynamic combination of mindsets, behaviors, and skills that are used to change or improve school culture through the help of technology (Cortellazzo et al., 2019; Hamzah et al., 2021). This digital leadership structure is designed based on a combination of technology, motivation, and leadership style. Digital leadership is a strategy that school leaders can implement to improve student achievement and increase school competitiveness. Digital leadership is one of the most appropriate, fast, cross-hierarchical, workgroup-oriented, and collaborative approaches, focusing on innovation (Oberer & Erkollar, 2018; Tian et al., 2020). Thus, in

making it happen, intense and two-way communication between stakeholders in educational institutions is needed (Boahene et al., 2019; Sheninger, 2014).

Digital leadership will bring about change because it uses digital tools in all education management (Ilomäki & Lakkala, 2018; Karakose et al., 2021). Digital devices are also needed as learning media through a strategic planning process aligned with the school's vision. The International Society for Technology in Education (ISTE-A) standards define five dimensions of digital leadership: visionary leadership, digital era learning culture, excellence in professional practice, systemic improvement, and digital citizenship (Hamzah et al., 2021; Navaridas-Nalda et al., 2020). The advantage of this kind of leadership is that it is mandatory to integrate digital technology in teaching activities so that learning becomes more accessible and more flexible. The teacher quality factor is also essential for a successful instructional process to enhance learning outputs (AlAjmi, 2022b; Cunningham et al., 2022). Principals must allocate continuous training with teacher competencies to ensure the smooth implementation of the vision of expanding digital education.

Previous research findings state that today's digital leadership strategy is essential to implement (AlAjmi, 2022a; Navaridas-Nalda et al., 2020). Although much has been written about leadership, the concept of digital leadership vision has not been reached broadly, deeply, and comprehensively (Ismail et al., 2021; Ndalamba et al., 2018). Studies on digital leadership are still limited. No study was conducted to thoroughly see how digital leadership affects the acceptance and application of technology, especially at the elementary school level. For this reason, this study aims to analyse the influence of digital leadership, acceptance, and use of digital technology for online learning.

2. METHODS

This study used a quantitative approach by following the survey research model through the distribution of questionnaires (Hermawan, 2019). This research was conducted in 27 elementary schools in Singaraja City. The samples of the study were chosen using a sample quota technique. A total of six teachers were taken from each school using a simple random technique. Thus, in this study, 162 teachers became the research sample.

The instrument used to collect data in this study consisted of two questionnaires. The first questionnaire is a questionnaire that was used to measure the digital leadership of school principals, and the other was used to measure the acceptance and use of technology. The questionnaire to measure digital leadership was developed using digital leadership theory with aspects and indicators as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Aspects and Indicators Measured in Digital Leadership

Aspect	Indicator
Visionary Leadership	Support and provide digital tools to make changes to optimize the achievement of learning goals Take an active role in all sustainable activities, especially in the development, implementation, and communication of technology-based strategic plans Deliver the program and provide funds for the implementation of the technology integration plan
Digital-Age Learning Culture	Improve the implementation of digital learning in a sustainable manner Provide examples and invite all teachers to use technology that is proven effective to improve the quality of learning Ensure the availability of a learning environment that supports the implementation of technology and technology-based learning resources according to the needs of students Assure that effective technology has been applied throughout the curriculum Disseminate and play an active role in learning communities that embody innovation, creativity, and digital collaboration
Excellence in Professional Practice	Provide time, resources, and access so that sustainable teacher professionalism can be realized, which will later be able to enable them to implement technology effectively and efficiently Provide facilities and play an active role in the learning community that initiates the realization of technology development Disseminate and set an example in communicating and collaborating on the wishes of stakeholders by utilizing current technology

Aspect	Indicator
Systemic Improvement	Realizing the trend of using effective technology and encouraging the creation of new technologies that can improve the quality of learning implementation and student learning outcomes
	Ensure that the application of technology always follows developments in the world of education
	Initiating change to realize the goals of technology-based learning and the creation of media-rich resources.
	Realizing cooperation in the application of metrics, data collection and analysis, and finding results to improve teacher performance and student learning outcomes
Digital Citizenship	Employing human resources who have competence in the application of technology to achieve academic and operational goals
	Realizing strategic partnerships to improve systemic quality
	Develop and ensure the maintenance of infrastructure supporting the implementation of technology that supports the realization of quality management, operations, teaching, and learning
	Ensure the availability and ease of access to obtain the necessary digital tools and resources according to the needs of teachers and all students
	Promote, develop models, and formulate policies to realize the availability of safe, legal, and ethical digital technology
	Disseminate and exemplify responsible social interactions regarding the implementation of technology and information
	Model and support the formation of shared cultural understanding and various global issues by using communication and collaboration tools

Meanwhile, the questionnaire measuring acceptance and use of technology was developed using UTAUT theory. Aspects and indicators measured for acceptance and use of technology according to UTAUT theory can be seen in [Table 2](#).

Table 2. Aspects and Indicators Measured in UTAUT

Aspect	Indicator
Effort Expectation	Ease of Interaction
	Complexity
	Perception of Ease of Use
Performance Expectation	Easy to learn
	Perception of Ease of Management
	Speed in working
	Performance advantage
Social Influence	Motivation
	Family Factor
	Friend Factor
Facilitating Condition	Social Factor
	Influential people
	Facilitating conditions
	Knowledge
Behavioral Intention to Use the System	Suitability
	Widely accepted
	Intend to use it more often
	Predict to use
	Planning to use
	Have high confidence in using

The technology referred to in this study is Google Classroom which includes Google Meet because Google Classroom is an application used by elementary school teachers in carrying out learning online. To ensure that the data collected were valid and reliable. Both instruments were tested for validity and reliability first. After being proven valid and reliable, then the instrument is used. The data collected from the two questionnaires were then analyzed using simple regression analysis with the help of SPSS 20.

3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Result

According to the research objective, which is to identify the influence of digital leadership on teachers' acceptance and use of digital technology, the researchers conducted a simple linear regression analysis according to the data obtained from the two questionnaires used in this study. Before performing simple linear regression analysis, the researcher conducted several prerequisite tests to perform regression analysis. After declaring the data to meet the requirements, the researchers continued using simple linear regression analysis. The results of the correlation coefficient test can be seen in [Table 3](#).

Table 3. Correlation Coefficient Test Results

	Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error	Beta		
1	(Constant)	2.140	0.271		7.885	0.000
	Digital_Leadership	1.011	0.004	0.999	282.254	0.000

Based on [Table 3](#), the analysis results found that the t-test coefficient for the constant (b1) was 282.254 with a significance level (sig.) of 0.000. This shows that the significance of the coefficient b1 is smaller than (0.05). In other words, the correlation between digital leadership and acceptance and use of digital technologies proved significant. The contribution of digital leadership to the acceptance and use of digital technology can be seen in [Table 4](#).

Table 4. Contribution of Digital Leadership to Acceptance and Use of Digital Technologies

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate	Change Statistics				Durbin-Watson	
					R Square Change	F Change	df1	df2		Sig. F Change
1	0.899	0.898	0.798	0.346	0.898	7.967	1	160	0.000	1.367

Based on [Table 4](#), show the regression analysis results, it was found that the correlation coefficient R was 0.899, and the coefficient of determination or R² was 7.98. The correlation coefficient is significant with an F coefficient of 7.967 with a significance of (sig.) 0.000 < 0.05. So it can be said that the contribution of Digital Leadership to the Acceptance and Use of Digital Technologies is 79.8%. Based on the results of the linearity analysis and the significance of the regression direction as shown in [Table 5](#).

Table 5. Linearity and Significance of Regression Direction

Statistic			Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
UTAUT * Digital_Leadership	Between Groups	(Combined)	9573.160	25	382.926	3.434	0.000
		Linearity	9569.109	1	9569.109	8.581	0.000
		Deviation from Linearity	4.051	24	0.169	1.514	0.073
	Within Groups		15.167	136	0.112		
	Total		9588.327	161			

Based on [Table 5](#), it is known that the significance of the regression direction (linear) is 8,581 with a significance (sig.) of 0.000. So, when compared with the value (0.05), then the value of sig. obtained was smaller. This means that the regression model is proven to be linear; furthermore, for the significance of the regression direction, sig. (0.000), which indicates that the direction of the regression is significant.

Discussion

This study indicates that the digital leadership applied by the principal influences the teachers' acceptance and use of digital technology. From the results obtained, it can also be said that the better the digital leadership applied by the principal, the better the acceptance and use of digital technology for teachers will be. The creation of digital leadership that can provide moral support and various supporting facilities needed for technology integration will motivate teachers to implement technology in their instructional activities (Aldawood et al., 2019; Cunningham et al., 2022). However, if digital leadership is not formed in schools, which makes the unavailability of support for technology implementation, teachers will be reluctant to use technology in the instructional activities (Corbett & Spinello, 2020; Ilomäki & Lakkala, 2018). Effective leadership is critical to an organization and school institution (Cunningham et al., 2022; Effendi et al., 2020; Hamzah et al., 2021). Leadership is effective if the principal has a high spirit, so he has a strong sense of self-confidence to carry out leadership and has clear goals. Digital leadership combines the concept of leadership with technological developments. In implementing the strategy, the principal must plan using technology to facilitate learning in schools.

Digital leadership in education will assist the advance of a shared vision for comprehensive technology integration and encourage an environment and culture conducive to achieving the objectives (Benitez et al., 2022; Cunningham et al., 2022). The leadership vision can be identified through the following points; first, identifying and applying research related to education and technology, learning psychology, and instructional design rules in directing the use of computers and technology in educational activities. Second, applying strategies and knowledge of problems associated with organizing school alteration processes. Third, apply effective group skills. Fourth, applying effective leadership in developing and evaluating district technology planning and implementing group process skills. Fifth, engaging in supervised field-based experiences with an accomplished technology facilitator or director.

This study found that digital leadership had an effect of 79.8% on the acceptance and use of digital technology by teachers. Thus, it confirms that the school principal's leadership is vital in making teachers willing to accept and use technology in the learning process (Arokiasamy et al., 2015; Ismail et al., 2021). The study also confirmed that the principal's leadership would influence the behavior of teachers. The better the leadership quality of the principal, the better the behavior of teachers and other school members (Dekawati, 2020; Kin et al., 2018). In general, the leadership quality of the principal will affect the teachers' performance and will further determine the school's success in achieving learning objectives (O'Shea, 2021; Sammons, 2010). For this reason, school principals are required to continuously improve their leadership abilities to realize quality leadership.

Schools must carry out online learning if it is related to the Covid-19 pandemic. Then digital leadership is mandatory for school principals to implement. Moreover, in the Covid-19 pandemic, many teachers are still experiencing problems implementing online learning (Saha et al., 2022; Scherer et al., 2021; Selvaraj et al., 2021). For this reason, support from the principal as a leader in the school is urgently needed to ease the burden on the main teachers in implementing online learning. The application of digital leadership will reduce the problems of teachers in carrying out online learning, which requires them to use the latest technology in the learning process (AlAjmi, 2022b; Hamzah et al., 2021). Because with the implementation of digital leadership, teachers will get the support they need to ease their burden in online learning (Ilomäki & Lakkala, 2018; Saputra et al., 2021). Previous research also stated that teachers must apply technology to optimally run online learning (Khatoony & Nezhadmehr, 2020; Mali & Lim, 2021; Nartiningrum, 2020). Several studies have confirmed that the implementation of digital leadership is essential to improve the quality of education (AlAjmi, 2022b; Hamzah et al., 2021; Ismail et al., 2021).

Currently, there are still many schools that have not been able to apply distance learning to the fullest due to the lack of teacher skills in integrating technology and the lack of supporting facilities owned by schools (Barrot et al., 2021; Dhawan, 2020; Yan et al., 2021). This shows that digital leadership in the school may not have been implemented properly. Considering the results of this study and the limitations of research that only conducts studies to determine the relationship of digital leadership to the acceptance and use of digital technology by teachers in the city of Singaraja, further research is needed that identifies the level of implementation of digital leadership in schools with a wider reach. Thus, data will be obtained regarding the extent to which school principals have implemented digital leadership. From further research, appropriate steps can be formulated to improve the quality of digital leadership carried out by school principals. With good mapping, appropriate solutions can be formulated to improve digital leadership, which will impact efforts to realize quality online learning. In addition, another research that involves more independent variables may also need to be done since various factors may affect teachers' acceptance and integration of digital technology.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the results of this study, it can be concluded that digital leadership has a significant influence on the acceptance and use of digital technology by teachers. This is evident from the results of the analysis, which show that digital leadership contributes as much as 79.8% to the acceptance and use of digital technology, especially the use of Google Classroom during the online learning process during the Covid-19 pandemic. So it can be suggested to school principals to apply good digital leadership so that implementing online learning that requires educators to integrate digital technology can be carried out properly.

5. REFERENCES

- Abuhammad, S. (2020). Heliyon Barriers to distance learning during the COVID-19 outbreak : A qualitative review from parents' perspective. *Heliyon*, 6(11), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05482>.
- Agustina, R., Kamdi, W., Hadi, S., Muladi, & Nurhadi, D. (2020). Influence of the principal's digital leadership on the reflective practices of vocational teachers mediated by trust, self efficacy, and work engagement. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, 19(11), 24–40. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.19.11.2>.
- AlAjmi, M. K. (2022a). The impact of digital leadership on teachers' technology integration during the COVID-19 pandemic in Kuwait. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 101928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101928>.
- AlAjmi, M. K. (2022b). The impact of digital leadership on teachers' technology integration during the COVID-19 pandemic in Kuwait. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2022.101928>.
- Aldawood, H., Alhejaili, A., Alabadi, M., Alharbi, O., & Skinner, G. (2019). Integrating Digital Leadership in an Educational Supervision Context: a Critical Appraisal. *2019 International Conference in Engineering Applications (ICEA)*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CEAP.2019.8883484>.
- Anggraeni, H., Fauziyah, Y., & Fahyuni, E. F. (2019). Penguatan Blended Learning Berbasis Literasi Digital Dalam Menghadapi Era Revolusi Industri 4.0. *Al-Idarah : Jurnal Kependidikan Islam*, 9(2), 190–203. <https://doi.org/10.24042/alidarah.v9i2.5168>.
- Arokiasamy, A. R. A., Abdullah, A. G. K. bin, & Ismail, A. (2015). Correlation between Cultural Perceptions, Leadership Style and ICT Usage by School Principals in Malaysia. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 176, 319–332. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.478>.
- Atalay, R. (2015). The Education and the Human Capital to Get Rid of the Middle-income Trap and to Provide the Economic Development. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 174, 969–976. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.720>.
- Barrot, J. S., Llenares, I. I., & Del Rosario, L. S. (2021). Students' online learning challenges during the pandemic and how they cope with them: The case of the Philippines. *Education and Information Technologies*, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-021-10589-x>.
- Basilaia, G., & Kvavadze, D. (2020). Transition to Online Education in Schools during a SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic in Georgia. *Pedagogical Research*, 5(4). <https://doi.org/10.29333/pr/7937>.
- Batubara, D. S. (2017). Kompetensi Teknologi Informasi dan Komunikasi Guru SD/MI (Potret, Faktor-faktor, dan Upaya Meningkatkan). *Muallimuna Jurnal Madrasah Ibtidaiyah*, 3(1), 48–65. <https://doi.org/10.31602/muallimuna.v3i1.954>.
- Beilstein, C. M., Lehmann, L. E., Braun, M., Urman, R. D., Luedi, M. M., & Stüber, F. (2021). Leadership in a time of crisis: Lessons learned from a pandemic. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Anaesthesiology*, 35(3), 405–414. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpa.2020.11.011>.
- Benitez, J., Arenas, A., Castillo, A., & Esteves, J. (2022). Impact of digital leadership capability on innovation performance: The role of platform digitization capability. *Information & Management*, 59(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2022.103590>.
- Benos, N., & Karagiannis, S. (2016). Do education quality and spillovers matter? Evidence on human capital and productivity in Greece. *Economic Modelling*, 54, 563–573. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econmod.2016.01.015>.
- Boahene, K. O., Fang, J., & Sampong, F. (2019). Social media usage and tertiary students' academic performance: Examining the influences of academic self-efficacy and innovation characteristics. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(8), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11082431>.
- Bradley, M., & Sellars, M. (2020). Mediating governmentality during Covid - 19 : A principal ' s task. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 2(1), 100086. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssaho.2020.100086>.

- Budiyono, B. (2020). Inovasi Pemanfaatan Teknologi Sebagai Media Pembelajaran di Era Revolusi 4.0. *Jurnal Kependidikan: Jurnal Hasil Penelitian Dan Kajian Kepustakaan Di Bidang Pendidikan, Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran*, 6(2), 300. <https://doi.org/10.33394/jk.v6i2.2475>.
- Corbett, F., & Spinello, E. (2020). Connectivism and leadership: harnessing a learning theory for the digital age to redefine leadership in the twenty-first century. *Heliyon*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03250>.
- Cortellazzo, L., Bruni, E., & Zampieri, R. (2019). The Role of Leadership in a Digitalized World: A Review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 10, 1938. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01938>.
- Cunningham, C., Zhang, W., Striepe, M., & Rhodes, D. (2022). Dual leadership in Chinese schools challenges executive principalships as best fit for 21st century educational development. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102531>.
- Danniels, E., Pyle, A., & DeLuca, C. (2020). The role of technology in supporting classroom assessment in play-based kindergarten. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 88(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.102966>.
- Dekawati, I. (2020). The Principal's Leadership as the Effort To Build Students' Character. *International Journal of Educational Management and Innovation*, 1(2), 109. <https://doi.org/10.12928/ijemi.v1i2.1631>.
- Dharma, I. M. A. (2019). Pengembangan Buku Cerita Anak Bergambar Dengan Inseri Budaya Lokal Bali Terhadap Minat Baca Dan Sikap Siswa Kelas V Sd Kurikulum 2013. *Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 2(1), 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jlls.v2i1.17321>.
- Dhawan, S. (2020). Online learning: A panacea in the time of COVID-19 crisis. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 49(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0047239520934018>.
- Díez, F., Villa, A., López, A. L., & Iraurgi, I. (2020). Impact of quality management systems in the performance of educational centers: educational policies and management processes. *Heliyon*, 6(4), e03824. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e03824>.
- Dinayusadewi, N. P., Ngurah, G., & Agustika, S. (2020). Development Of Augmented Reality Application As A Mathematics Learning Media In Elementary School Geometry Materials. *Journal of Education Technology*, 4(2), 204–210. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v4i2.25372>.
- Effendi, R., Lian, B., & Sari, A. P. (2020). Principal Leadership Policy in Improving Instructional Quality. *International Journal of Progressive Sciences and Technologies (IJPSAT)*, 368–375. <https://doi.org/10.52155/ijpsat.v22.1.2086>.
- Flores, M. A., & Derrington, M. L. (2017). School principals' views of teacher evaluation policy: Lessons learned from two empirical studies. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 20(4), 416–431. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2015.1094144>.
- Garzón-Artacho, E., Sola-Martínez, T., Romero-Rodríguez, J.-M., & Gómez-García, G. (2021). Teachers' perceptions of digital competence at the lifelong learning stage. *Heliyon*, 7(7), e07513. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07513>.
- Ghory, S., & Ghafory, H. (2021). The impact of modern technology in the teaching and learning process. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, 4(3), 168–173. <https://doi.org/10.53894/ijirss.v4i3.73>.
- Hamzah, N. H., Khalid, M., & Wahab, J. A. (2021). The effects of principals' digital leadership on teachers' digital teaching during the covid-19 pandemic in malaysia. *Journal of Education and E-Learning Research*, 8(2), 216–221. <https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.509.2021.82.216.221>.
- Hermawan, I. (2019). *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan (Kualitatif, Kuantitatif dan Mixed Method)*. Hidayatul Qur'an Kuningan.
- Hibana, H., & Surahman, S. (2021). Kompetensi Digital Guru Dalam Upaya Meningkatkan Capaian Pendidikan Anak Usia Dini. *Jurnal Studi Guru Dan Pembelajaran*, 4(3). <https://doi.org/10.30605/jsgp.4.3.2021.1392>.
- Honig, M. I., & Rainey, L. R. (2019). Supporting principal supervisors: what really matters? *Journal of Educational Administration*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEA-05-2019-0089>.
- Illomäki, L., & Lakkala, M. (2018). Digital technology and practices for school improvement: innovative digital school model. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 13(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-018-0094-8>.
- Ismail, S. N., Omar, M. N., & Raman, A. (2021). The authority of principals' technology leadership in empowering teachers' self-efficacy towards ict use. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, 10(3), 878–885. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v10i3.21816>.
- Jannah, M., Prasajo, L. D., Adam, M., & Jerusalem. (2020). Elementary School Teachers' Perceptions of Digital Technology Based Learning in the 21st Century: Promoting Digital Technology as the Proponent Learning Tools. *Al Ibtida: Jurnal Pendidikan Guru MI*, 7(1).

- <https://doi.org/10.24235/al.ibtida.snj.v7i1.6088>.
- Karakose, T., Polat, H., & Papadakis, S. (2021). Examining Teachers' Perspectives on School Principals' Digital Leadership Roles and Technology Capabilities during the COVID-19 Pandemic. In *Sustainability* (Vol. 13, Issue 23). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su132313448>.
- Karkouti, I. M., Abu-Shawish, R. K., & Romanowski, M. H. (2022). Teachers' understandings of the social and professional support needed to implement change in Qatar. *Heliyon*, 8(1), e08818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e08818>.
- Khatony, S., & Nezhadmehr, M. (2020). EFL teachers' challenges in integration of technology for online classrooms during Coronavirus (COVID-19) pandemic in Iran. *AJELP: Asian Journal of English Language and Pedagogy*, 8(2), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.37134/ajelp.vol8.sp.1.2020>.
- Kin, T. M., Abdull Kareem, O., Nordin, M. S., & Wai Bing, K. (2018). Principal change leadership competencies and teacher attitudes toward change: the mediating effects of teacher change beliefs. *International Journal of Leadership in Education*, 21(4), 427–446. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603124.2016.1272719>.
- Konstantinidou, E., & Scherer, R. (2022). Teaching with technology: A large-scale, international, and multilevel study of the roles of teacher and school characteristics. *Computers & Education*, 179, 104424. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2021.104424>.
- Laksana, D. N. L., Dasna, I. W., & Degeng, I. N. S. (2019). The effects of inquiry-based learning and learning styles on primary school students' conceptual understanding in multimedia learning environment. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/19.18.51>.
- Lemay, D. J., Bazalais, P., & Doleck, T. (2021). Transition to online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Computers in Human Behavior Reports*, 4, 100130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2021.100130>.
- Liao, Y.-C., Ottenbreit-Leftwich, A., Glazewski, K., & Karlin, M. (2021). Coaching to support teacher technology integration in elementary classrooms: A multiple case study. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 104, 103384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2021.103384>.
- Mali, D., & Lim, H. (2021). How do students perceive face-to-face/blended learning as a result of the Covid-19 pandemic? *International Journal of Management Education*, 19(3), 100552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2021.100552>.
- Mariana, I. (2015). Consequences of the Investment in Education as Regards Human Capital. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 23(October 2014), 362–370. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(15\)00426-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(15)00426-8).
- Muhtar, T., & Dallyono, R. (2020). Character education from the perspectives of elementary school physical education teachers. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 39(2), 395–408. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v39i2.30647>.
- Nartiningrum, N. (2020). Online Learning amidst Global Pandemic: EFL Students' Challenges, Suggestions, and Needed Materials. *ENGLISH FRANCA: Academic Journal of English Language and Education*, 4(2), 115–140. <https://doi.org/10.29240/ef.v4i2.1494>.
- Nash, R., Otten, C., Pill, S., Williams, J., Mainsbridge, C., Cruickshank, V., & Elmer, S. (2021). School leaders reflections on their school's engagement in a program to foster health literacy development. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 2, 100089. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2021.100089>.
- Navaridas-Nalda, F., Emeterio, M. C.-S., Fernández-Ortiz, R., & Arias-Oliva, M. (2020). The strategic influence of school principal leadership in the digital transformation of schools. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106481>.
- Ndalamba, K. K., Caldwell, C., & Anderson, V. (2018). Leadership vision as a moral duty. *Journal of Management Development*, 37(3), 309–319. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JMD-08-2017-0262>.
- Noori, A. Q. (2021). The impact of COVID-19 pandemic on students' learning in higher education in Afghanistan. *Heliyon*, 7(10), e08113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08113>.
- O'Shea, C. (2021). Distributed leadership and innovative teaching practices. *International Journal of Educational Research Open*, 2, 100088. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedro.2021.100088>.
- Oberer, B., & Erkollar, A. (2018). Leadership 4.0: Digital Leaders in the Age of Industry 4.0. *International Journal of Organizational Leadership*, 7(4), 404–412. <https://doi.org/10.33844/ijol.2018.60332>.
- Priantini, D. A. M. M. O. (2020). The Development of Teaching Video Media Based on Tri Kaya Parisudha in Educational Psychology Courses. *Dewa Ayu Made Manu Okta Priantini*, 4(4), 448–455. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v4i4.29608>.
- Rambe, R. N. K. (2018). Penerapan Strategi Index Card Match Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Mata Pelajaran Bahasa Indonesia. *Jurnal Tarbiyah: Jurnal Ilmiah Kependidikan*, 25(1), 93–124. <https://doi.org/10.30829/tar.v25i1.237>.
- Ramos-Pla, A., Tintoré, M., & Del Arco, I. (2021). Leadership in times of crisis. School principals facing

- COVID-19. *Heliyon*, 7(11), e08443–e08443. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08443>.
- Ratmini, N. A., Natajaya, I. N., & Sunu, I. G. K. A. (2019). Kontribusi Gaya Kepemimpinan Kepala Sekolah, Komitmen Organisasi, Iklim Kerja dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Guru SMP Negeri 2 Singaraja. *Jurnal Administrasi Pendidikan Indonesia*, 10(2), 91–100. <https://doi.org/10.23887/japi.v10i2.2795>.
- Saha, S. M., Pranty, S. A., Rana, M. J., Islam, M. J., & Hossain, M. E. (2022). Teaching during a pandemic: do university teachers prefer online teaching? *Heliyon*, 8(1), e08663. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e08663>.
- Sammons, P. (2010). *Equity and Educational Effectiveness* (P. Peterson, E. Baker, & B. B. T.-I. E. of E. (Third E. McGaw (eds.); pp. 51–57). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-044894-7.00419-X>.
- Saputra, N., Nugroho, R., Aisyah, H., & Karneli, O. (2021). Digital Skill During Covid-19: Effects of Digital Leadership and Digital Collaboration. *Jurnal Aplikasi Manajemen*, 19(2), 272–281. <https://doi.org/10.21776/ub.jam.2021.019.02.04>.
- Scherer, R., Howard, S. K., Tondeur, J., & Siddiq, F. (2021). Profiling teachers' readiness for online teaching and learning in higher education: Who's ready? *Computers in Human Behavior*, 118, 106675. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106675>.
- Selvaraj, A., Radhin, V., KA, N., Benson, N., & Mathew, A. J. (2021). Effect of pandemic based online education on teaching and learning system. *International Journal of Educational Development*, 85(May), 102444. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijedudev.2021.102444>.
- Sheninger, E. (2014). *Digital Leadership: Changing Paradigms for Changing Times*. Corwin Press.
- Shodiq, I. J., & Zainiyati, H. S. (2020). Pemanfaatan Media Pembelajaran E-Learning Menggunakan Whatsapp Sebagai Solusi Ditengah Penyebaran Covid-19 Di Mi Nurulhuda Jelu. *Al-Insyiroh: Jurnal Studi Keislaman*, 6(2), 144–159. <https://doi.org/10.35309/alinsyiroh.v6i2.3946>.
- Subandi, S. (2018). Kontribusi Kepemimpinan Kepala Sekolah, Motivasi Kerja, dan Iklim Sekolah terhadap Kinerja Guru. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pengembangan Sains Dan Humaniora*, 1(2), 57–63. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jppsh.v1i2.12934>.
- Subedi, S., Nayaju, S., Subedi, S., Shah, S. K., & Shah, J. M. (2020). Impact of E-learning during COVID-19 Pandemic among Nursing Students and Teachers of Nepal. *International Journal of Science and Healthcare Research*, 5(3), 68–76. <https://www.academia.edu/download/64126179/IJSHR0012.pdf>.
- Taghavinia, M., Maleki, M. R., & Arabshahi, K. S. (2021). Educational leadership in education development centers: A qualitative study. *Journal of Education and Health Promotion*, 10, 46. https://doi.org/10.4103/jehp.jehp_733_20.
- Teräs, M., Suoranta, J., Teräs, H., & Curcher, M. (2020). Post-Covid-19 Education and Education Technology 'Solutionism': a Seller's Market. *Postdigital Science and Education*, 2(3), 863–878. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-020-00164-x>.
- Tian, H., Zhou, Y., Tang, L., Wu, F., Deng, Z., Lin, B., Huang, P., Wei, S., Zhao, D., Zheng, J., Zhong, N., & Ran, P. (2020). High-dose N-acetylcysteine for long-term, regular treatment of early-stage chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (GOLD I-II): Study protocol for a multicenter, double-blinded, parallel-group, randomized controlled trial in China. *Trials*, 21(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-020-04701-8>.
- Tomczyk, Ł. (2020). Digital literacy and e-learning experiences among the pre-service teachers data. *Data in Brief*, 32, 106052. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2020.106052>.
- U-Sayee, C. R., & Adomako, E. B. (2021). Supervisory practices and challenges faced by senior high school principals in Greater Monrovia, Liberia: implications for quality education. *Heliyon*, 7(4), e06895. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06895>.
- Wijaya, H., Tari, E., Sumule, L., Weismann, I. T. J., & Supartini, T. (2021). Online Learning Evaluation in Higher Education: Study Survey Method. *Journal of Education Technology*, 5(3), 401–408. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v5i3.35466>.
- Yan, L., Whitelock-Wainwright, A., Guan, Q., Wen, G., Gašević, D., & Chen, G. (2021). Students' experience of online learning during the COVID-19 pandemic: A province-wide survey study. *British Journal of Educational Technology: Journal of the Council for Educational Technology*, 10.1111/bjet.13102. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bjet.13102>.